

Review of: "Statistical Overview of Prevalence of Anaemia with Associated Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors in Nigeria"

Kajol Dahal¹

¹ East Tennessee State University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract lacks an introductory context to outline the study's significance. Furthermore, the abstract fails to summarize findings related to education but provides recommendations related to it in the conclusion.

There are no references in many places in the introduction body. The definitions, statements, or concepts you took from other sources should be cited properly. You have to build up the case, show the gap, and explain the significance of the study. Why are you doing this study? Aren't studies like this done before in Nigeria? What is the significance of your study?

The methodology is very weak. They have provided definitions of types of analysis from somewhere without citations, which are not required at all. They haven't done multinomial logistic regression; I don't know why they have given its definition there. They haven't talked about the sampling population, sampling type, inclusion and exclusion criteria. The explanation for the selection of the variables is not strong; they have to explain in detail.

The results should be explained in the past tense.

Limited Discussion: The article lacks a comprehensive discussion section that could provide a deeper analysis and interpretation of the study's findings. A detailed discussion could have offered insights into the implications of the results and potential recommendations for addressing anemia in Nigeria.

The discussion section is not explicitly labeled in the provided excerpts. However, based on the content, the discussion section should follow the data analysis and results discussion sections, providing an in-depth interpretation of the study's findings and their implications. It should also offer insights into the significance of the results and potential recommendations for addressing anemia in Nigeria.

Limited References: The article only includes six references (which doesn't make sense for an article), which may limit the breadth and depth of the literature review. A more extensive review of relevant literature could have provided a stronger theoretical foundation for the study and enhanced the credibility of the findings.

A more extensive reference list could have provided a broader theoretical and empirical foundation for the study, enhancing its credibility and demonstrating a thorough review of existing literature on the topic. Additional references could have also supported the study's methodology and findings with a more diverse range of scholarly sources.

