

Review of: "Augmented Reality (AR) Technology on Student Engagement: An Experimental Research Study"

Elisa Rojas¹

¹ Universidad de Alcalá de Henares

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article has potential but it needs serious improvements in terms of scientific rigour.

For example, first of all, the state of the art should be carefully analyzed. There should be a clear motivation for this study. Was this study performed before? where? with what students? how many involved people? what were the results?

Afterwards, it is ok to provide the full survey and results, but I think those should be linked as a Annex or similar, and the authors should be concise and analyze in detail the results to provide a more concrete overview. Instead of literal results, the authors should elaborate different graphs and co-relate the results to provide a more comprehensive and analyzed output.

Finally, I was also missing a more detailed analysis of the AR used for their test, the type of professors involved and their digital knowledge (as this might affect the results as well). So a more comprehensive description of the scenario is required.

Extra: 100 students is a reasonable amount of students, but not outstanding, so you should improve the abovementioned aspects. Keeping surveying the students for more years would be recommended to continue the study.