Review of: "Grice's Café – Coffee, cream, and metaphor comprehension"

Bingzhuan Peng

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

It is a very interesting topic in metaphor. However, some revisions are needed.

-I suggest the Abstract should include (research background), research aim, research method, research findings and research significance.

-The author needs to add some citations if the statements are not yours, for instance, "Critics claim that the rational model falsely predicts that certain processes obtain during the comprehension procedure and that Grice posits psychologically implausible patterns of thought called upon in the determination of metaphorical meaning. " in Section 1, "Nearly all that Grice had to say about metaphor is found in a few short passages in 'Logic and Conversation'" in Section 2, "Geurts and Rubio-Fernández identify three assumptions (read: misconceptions) at work in Wilson's understanding of Grice project "in Section 3.

-In section 2, "So, for Grice, a speaker utters a sentence that p ('you are the cream in my coffee'), whose meaning is fixed by the conventional meaning of the sentence.", I think the author needs some further explanations.

-Concerning "To illustrate this point, consider: a twice-true metaphor (1), a negative metaphor (2), and an imperative metaphor (3)" in section 2, I think the author needs to define the three terms "a twice-true metaphor", "a negative metaphor" and "an imperative metaphor" in order to better illustrate this part.

-Concerning example (4), example (5), and example (6), the author needs to present the structures of metaphors, because they are supposed to support the claim "However, metaphors vary in their structure".

-I suggest the author add Conclusion section, including findings, significance, limitation of the study and how to solve to limitation.

-The References need updating. I suggest the author add more works of the late five years.

-The author also needs some extensive proofreading corrections.