

# Review of: "The Comparison of Traverses Adjusted by Non-Rigorous and Rigorous Methods of Adjustment"

Mustafa Tunahan Başar

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

I think that some corrections should be made before it is published in its current state.

Recommendations for this study;

- 1) In the summary section, results should be given more clearly in the last paragraph. If possible, the differences of the compared methods should be giving numerical ratios.
- 2) A literature review has been carried out, but the novelty of the study and its advantages over the literature should be clearly stated in one paragraph. Additionally, differences and similarities can be postponed by referring to similar studies.
- 3) A schematic flow diagram that visually expresses the work performed for the material and method can be added.
- 4) Changes or similarities in Figure 4.1 should be mentioned in the text. Also, the coordinate names appear to be missing in the chart.
- 5) Similarly, short comments should be added below the tables. additionally, Unit deficiencies in some tables present numerical values are unclear. For example ; Table 1

I think that the study can be published if these uncertainties and corrections are made.