

Review of: "Shopping bags: own or plastic? Theoretical explanation of pro-environment consumer behavior in Vietnam"

Md Sahabuddin¹

¹ Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

In general, the context is rough and disorganized. The main contributions of this work need to be clearly presented in the introduction. The introduction have not been written well. It is not concise and clear. The manuscript would benefit from general improvements in the writing style; there are several errors of grammar which detract the message of what is an otherwise excellent manuscript. The research gap is not clear and limited in the current version. The authors should highlight the conceptual and methodological gaps in the literature leading to this study. Without this discussion, the academic value of the study is not high. Authors should summarize all the key findings of the research in the abstract. Author should need to cite recent literature and highlight the research gap. The research methods used are appropriate but have limitations, and this should be mentioned. The discussion and implications are rather short, and they should be extended. It would be appropriate to specify in more detail how this research differs from the already published paper that deals with a similar topic. To increase the significance of the results, the discussion part should embrace the differences and similarities among your findings and those of other scholars. You need also to improve the practical and academic implications. Conclusions should be expanded, pointing to the limitations of the analyzed problem and defining the directions of further research.