

Review of: "Flame Photometry: For the Determination of Alkali Metals in Commercially Sold Fireworks"

Daiane Placido Torres¹

1 Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (EMBRAPA)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

General comments:

The manuscript is well-written, and the English is quite charming.

Specific comments:

- Table 1, disadvantage column for Kjeldahl's method: I believe there should be a comma instead of a dot between the
 words "precursors" and "this".
- Section 2.2 The experimental conditions for the measurements in the flame photometer are lacking, such as flame gas, flow rates, wavelengths, spectral band, etc.
- Section 3.1 In the first sentence, please use "trueness" instead of "accuracy", since accuracy encompasses both
 trueness and precision. According to the COMMISSION DECISION of 12 August 2002 2002/657/EC: "Accuracy means
 the closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value. It is determined by determining
 trueness and precision."
- Figures 3 and 4: if I understood correctly, figures a and c are basically the same thing but have different concentration ranges of the analytes. If that is the case, please emphasize that in the description; otherwise, explain better what the difference between them is.

Qeios ID: H9891O · https://doi.org/10.32388/H9891O