

Review of: "Impact of Telemedicine on Post-Bariatric Surgery Outcomes and Patient Satisfaction During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Retrospective Observational Study"

Lisa Mercieca¹

¹ University of Malta, Malta

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Summary of the Article:

The research provides a comprehensive investigation of the influence of telemedicine utilisation during the COVID-19 epidemic on bariatric surgery safety outcomes and user satisfaction with this follow-up. The telemedicine-assured follow-up did not change the outcomes of bariatric surgery, which suggests that it may be a viable option for regular monitoring of the post-bariatric surgery process. The research provides a comprehensive investigation of the influence of telemedicine utilisation during the COVID-19 epidemic on bariatric surgery safety outcomes and user satisfaction with this follow-up. The telemedicine-assured follow-up did not change the outcomes of bariatric surgery, which suggests that it may be a viable option for regular monitoring of the post-bariatric surgery process.

Strengths:

1. Depth of Research: The authors have thoroughly reviewed the relevant literature and have built upon it in a meaningful way.
2. Clear Objectives: The paper's objectives are clearly stated in the introduction, and the study follows a logical flow.
3. Data Presentation: The use of charts/graphs/tables to present the results enhances the clarity of the findings.
4. Contribution to the Field: The research addresses a gap in the literature on telemedicine for post-op bariatric surgery, and the conclusions drawn are relevant and offer practical insights for future reference.

Areas for Improvement:

1. Methodology Clarification:

Clarify why this methodology was chosen.

2. Syntax and Grammar:

The paper, while informative, contains a few typos and issues related to sentence structure. Improving these would enhance readability and professionalism. Some sentences should be restructured in the discussion and conclusion.

Example:

1. Abstract - Method: "this"
2. Introduction - paragraph 8 - too long
3. Conclusion not well structured

3. Conclusion:

The current conclusion is somewhat brief, and expanding on how these results can be applied in practice or future research would strengthen the paper.

Recommendation:

Overall, the article makes a valuable contribution to post-op care following bariatric surgery. However, addressing the issues related to methodology clarification, syntax, grammar, and the depth of discussion will greatly improve its quality. I recommend minor revisions before publication.