

Review of: "How to cure the Wittgensteinian anxiety? A two-dimensional approach to speakers' intuitions in linguistics"

Fernando Lautaro Ramírez

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The main problem in this paper is the methodological way to address mental discourse. In other words, it is commonly known the way that science approaches these issues: empirically and behaviorally; that is to say, the main focus is the empirical apprehension of the object in question, in this case: language, intuition. In order to have a clear and thorough approach to the physical experience of mental entities, science developed neuroscience: the use of a scanner capable of revealing the neuron intern web of the object, in which case it is a subject and its concomitant behavior. Ultimately, there is no way out, or better, way in, to the subjective experience of mental entities.

As a result, the relevance of this paper appears in all its importance, because of its pretentious of delving into, according to Wittgenstein's parlance, this "uncomprehended processes in the yet unexplored medium," (p. 8). Its effort in developing a 2D (2-dimensional semantics) trays to reach this difficult matter between 1-intensional non-phenomenal properties that are communicable, and 2-intensional phenomenal content which is ineffable. In the First case, we can verify the information; in the second one, we can only lay upon the veracity of the silent experience, if it's possible to say that.

All the effort in the article grants us a rigorous approach to the subject in question, although it doesn't try to close it down, despite its admirable and coherent contribution; this is, I think, a respectable attitude. In that sense, it is possible to develop parallels with the mystic experience, its ineffability features us to an isomorphic situation; apparently, there is no way to establish a referent or at least a common one. But an integral approach to linguistics as Ken Wilber does it, it seems to be one of a kind, because he creates an integral map of all the main methodological approaches in the current scientific fields, philosophical, psychological, etc. This may seem a presumptuous intention, but, on the contrary, its coherence, clarity, and efficacy in solving the most intricate matters, offer an incomparable option to any sort of investigation we are in discussion. And, undoubtedly, to this article too.