Qeios

1

Research Article

Signals of Human Polygenic Adaptation: Moving Beyond Single-Gene Methods and Controlling for Population-Specific Linkage Disequilibrium

Davide Piffer¹

1. University of Bologna, Italy

This research aimed to identify signals of polygenic adaptation in various phenotypes – such as educational attainment, height, and schizophrenia – by employing traditional Fst enrichment tests and polygenic score differentiation tests like Qst and Qx. Fst tests offered inconclusive evidence for over-differentiation in allele frequencies, while Qst tests indicated significant differences for cognitive traits but not for height. The investigation underscores that Fst underestimates the extent of phenotypic differentiation due to additive genetic influences because it fails to account for the covariance of allelic effects across populations. The research demonstrates that Bird's (2021) analysis of the genetic IQ disparity between Africans and Europeans is based on the incorrect assumption that Fst should be equal to the phenotypic variance between populations (Qst), assuming all betweengroup variation results from additive genetic effects.

The findings emphasize the importance of considering both Fst and Qst values when assessing population genetic differentiation. They also stress the importance of controlling for population-specific Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) decay. Indeed, LD decay produced a pro-European bias in polygenic scores, inflating the European mean compared to Africans and East Asians. Finally, family based or multi-ancestry GWAS are needed to account for other sources of bias such as population stratification and ancestry-specific variants or effects. The currently available data does not allow us to provide accurate estimates of the genotypic potential of ancestral groups that are genetically very different from Europeans.

Correspondence: papers@team.qeios.com — Qeios will forward to the authors

1. Introduction

In recent years, the genomic effects of natural selection on polygenic traits, or traits that are influenced by multiple genes, has become a major area of study in human population genetics and ecology (Berg and Coop, 2014; Berg et al., 2021; Field et al., 2016; Gratten et al., 2014). These genomic effects can provide insights into the evolutionary history of populations and contribute to our understanding of complex traits, such as susceptibility to diseases and response to environmental factors.

Technological advancements in genome sequencing and novel analytic methods have significantly advanced the field. One such method, the Fst enrichment test, is used to measure divergent selection pressure on single-gene traits. It involves comparing Fst, which quantifies genetic variation between populations, at the candidate gene with the Fst of the background genetic variation, which is mostly neutral. However, this method is limited in its ability to detect divergent selection when the selection signal is weak and spread across numerous loci, as is often the case with polygenic traits (soft sweeps) (Pritchard, 2010; Hollinger et al., 2019).

In contrast, Qst is employed to quantify phenotypic differentiation between populations resulting from genetic influences, particularly in the context of polygenic traits under an additive model. Qst quantifies the proportion of genetic variation in a trait that exists between populations. For polygenic traits, polygenic scores represent the additive genetic variance, which is the sum of the effects of individual genes. Qst can be calculated as the ratio of the variation between populations for polygenic scores to the overall variation of polygenic scores, which is the sum of between-population variation and twice the within-population variation.

According to the model developed by Le Corre and Kremer (2012), allelic covariance, or the relationship between allele frequencies and their effects on a trait, can be broken down into two components: the covariance of allele frequencies and the covariance of allelic effects. In cases where polygenic traits are under divergent selection among populations, alleles with similar effects are driven to similar frequencies within populations across multiple loci. This can result in population differences in the mean of a quantitative trait due to positive covariances – that is, linkage disequilibrium – between distant variants (Latta, 1998; Le Corre and Kremer, 2003; Ma et al., 2010).

Factor analysis, a statistical method used to analyze the structure of data, has been employed to measure allelic covariance for traits such as educational attainment and height (Piffer, 2013, 2016). Studies have demonstrated that allelic covariance can help explain the observed population differences in these traits,

emphasizing the importance of accounting for allelic covariance when investigating the genetics of polygenic traits under divergent selection.

The relationship between allelic effects and frequencies, or the covariance of these variables, can be considered as the between-population component of linkage disequilibrium, which refers to the non-random association of alleles at different loci (Storz and Kelly, 2008; Ma et al., 2010). Selection can lead to the accumulation of intergenic disequilibrium, a phenomenon that can cause differentiation at the gene level to become uncoupled from differentiation at the trait level or in the polygenic score, which represents the cumulative effect of multiple genetic variants on a trait.

The Fst enrichment test (Guo et al., 2018; Bird, 2021) compares genetic differentiation, measured as the average Fst across genome-wide association study (GWAS) single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), with that of other randomly matched SNPs. However, this test is only capable of detecting one component of genetic differentiation resulting from divergent selection, specifically, the Fstq(Fst at GWAS SNPs)/Fst(at neutral SNPs) ratio. In many cases (Le Corre and Kremer, 2012), this component is small compared to the allelic covariance across populations, which can lead to false negatives – that is, incorrectly identifying no significant difference when one actually exists.

Studies have compared genetic differentiation at neutral markers (which are not influenced by selection) to differentiation at candidate genes (which are potentially under selection) for various tree species. These studies have found low levels of genetic differentiation that are not significantly different from those observed for neutral markers. However, they have observed much higher levels of Qst (Eveno et al., 2008; Pyhäjärvi et al., 2008; Heuertz et al., 2006; Hall et al., 2007; Luquez et al., 2007; Derory et al., 2010; Namroud et al., 2008).

Indeed, Qst can be large even if Fst is very small. This situation occurs when there is little genetic differentiation between populations at individual loci, but the covariance in allele frequencies between populations creates differences in the phenotypic traits. For highly polygenic traits like height and cognition, the genetic variance is expected to be mostly attributable to the allelic covariance component, as the significance of this component increases with the number of loci implicated in the trait (Kremer & Le Corre, 2012; Berg and Coop, 2014).

For traits controlled by 40 loci, the genetic differentiation Fstq at GWAS SNPs becomes very close to the neutral differentiation (Fst) and the gap between Qst and Fst becomes large (Le Corre & Kremer, 2012). Conversely, there may be significant levels of genetic differentiation by (Fst > 0.15) without any variations in the population means (Qst = 0).

The Fst enrichment test has a technical limitation in that it relies on randomly matched sets of SNPs, which can result in different outcomes based on filtering criteria. Additionally, high genetic differentiation can occur without differences in population means (Qst = 0). The ratio between the Qst and Fst coefficients indicates the degree of decoupling between Fstq and Qst, and it serves as a useful indicator of selection for many polygenic trait scenarios when the allelic covariance, denoted as θ B, is the largest component.

The covariance of allelic effects is related to Cronbach's Alpha, a measure of internal consistency commonly employed in psychometric scales. However, Cronbach's Alpha is dependent on the number of variables (i.e. SNPs) used, hence it is far from being a perfect measure of cross-population LD. The Cronbach's Alpha coefficient will be used to assess the reliability of polygenic scores.

As mentioned earlier, theoretical modeling predicts a high level of decoupling between Qst and Fst for highly polygenic traits under moderate to strong divergent selection. Consequently, we predict that Qst > Fst for the traits hypothesized to be under divergent selection in humans.

Transferring polygenic scores across populations has proven challenging in this field of research (Martin et al., 2019). This issue arises from the variability in the impact of causal variants and differences in linkage disequilibrium patterns between populations (Vilhjálmsson et al., 2015). These factors can lead to a misalignment in non-GWAS populations between the "true" causal variant and the "tag" variant (variants linked to the causal variant that do not directly affect the trait in question) identified through GWAS in populations, typically of European descent. The effect of different, mainly weaker, LD patterns is particularly strong in individuals of African ancestry, where the polygenic scores typically show considerably less validity than they do for other populations, such as South and East Asians (Fahed et al., 2021). In fact, a polygenic score for educational attainment had 50% reduction in effect size for African Americans as compared to Europeans (Lee et al., 2018), though it still retained some predictive validity in a replication sample (Rabinowitz et al., 2019). In an independent sample, there was a slightly lower (-40%) effect size reduction (from 0.26 to 0.16) (Fuerst et al., 2023).

Differential LD patterns are probably responsible for a large portion of the limited trans-ethnic portability of GWAS results, because the effects of the "true" causal alleles remain relatively consistent across ancestries, with a correlation of 0.95 across local ancestries within African-European admixed individuals (Hou et al., 2023). This paper employs a previously published method (Piffer, 2021) to identify the influence of population-specific LD patterns on polygenic scores, and to demonstrate how eliminating the most-impacted SNPs affects pairwise differences.

We aim to examine the potential influence of divergent selection on height, educational attainment, and mental disorders (such as schizophrenia) by employing polygenic score overdispersion tests (Qst, Qx). Qx measures the deviation of polygenic scores from their distribution under genetic drift (Refoyo-Martinez et al., 2021).

Qst will be calculated on all the traits to show the amount of population differentiation and how this is inflated by LD decay, whereas Qx will be computed only on the traits that were found not to be significantly biased by LD decay.

To investigate whether genetic factors contribute to phenotypic differences between groups, we will calculate the correlation between population-level polygenic scores and average population IQ (used as a proxy for education-related abilities), as well as average height. A strong correlation between average phenotype and polygenic scores is a signal of divergent adaptation (Turchin et al., 2012).

Partial polygenic scores will be computed for the ancestry components found in the Latino/Hispanic gnomAD sample. This will help us examine if the mixing of different ethnic groups happens randomly or not, focusing on certain characteristics like education. If individuals from one ethnic group don't choose partners from other ethnic groups randomly, especially considering certain characteristics, then the average genetic scores for these characteristics will differ from the scores of the ethnic groups they partner with. For example, if individuals from group A only choose highly educated partners from group B, then the partial group B genetic scores related to education among mixed individuals will be higher than the overall genetic scores for education among individuals of group B.

Moreover, it will allow us to estimate a "pure" Native American polygenic score, instead of computing it using mixed individuals from 1KG (e.g. PEL, MXL, PUR) or alternatively relying on small indigenous samples from HGDP.

Finally, we show that Kevin Bird's analysis (Bird, 2021) rests on the fallacious assumption that Fst = Qst and that the value he computed from phenotypic data is very close to the Qst value computed using polygenic scores for education.

2. Materials and methods

Datasets: For polygenic score computation, we utilized data from various GWAS studies. For instance, the latest GWAS of height used a multi-ancestry sample of 4 million individuals, identifying 7209 height-associated loci from 12,111 genome-wide significant regions, as defined by COJO P-value < 5×10-8 in

trans-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis, with +/- 35 kb flanking regions (Yengo et al., 2022). Among these, the SNPs (N= 3,779) that were significant in the GWAS summary statistics file for all populations. The educational attainment (EA) GWAS summary statistics were obtained from four different studies, including Lee et al. (2018), who used multi-trait analysis of GWAS (MTAG) to identify SNP associations with high predictive accuracy for EA3 polygenic score computation. In addition, the latest GWAS of educational attainment, which used a sample size of ~3 million individuals, was used for EA4 polygenic score computation (Okbay et al., 2022). Furthermore, summary statistics for sibship (within-family) GWAS of education were retrieved from a recent meta-analysis of sibship GWAS (Howe et al., 2022). A recent, small Danish GWAS identified 4 significant SNPs correlated with the first principal component of school grades (E1), which captured overall school performance and showed the strongest genetic correlations with educational attainment (r g = 0.90; SE = 0.03; P = 4.8 × 10 –198) and intelligence (r g = 0.80; SE = 0.03; P = 3.3 × 10–128) (Rajagopal et al., 2023). The PGS from this study will be referred to as DKedu (Denmark education).

Trubetskoy et al. (2022) conducted the latest schizophrenia (SCZ) GWAS and identified 313 independent SNPs in the "primary" GWAS that were significant at a genome-wide level ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with a linkage disequilibrium (LD) of r2 < 0.1. In the extended GWAS (hereafter "combined"), primary GWAS results were meta-analyzed with summary statistics from deCODE genetics, identifying 342 linkage-disequilibrium-independent significant SNPs.

This study was selected because it is the most recent and because it is the first large-scale trans-racial GWAS for schizophrenia, including individuals of European, East Asian, Africa, and Amerindian ancestry. Polygenic scores were computed using both sets of SNPs ("primary" and "combined"). The PGS derived from the larger combined ancestry GWAS had more explanatory power than the one based on the matched ancestry GWAS even for non-European cohorts, likely due to the smaller sample size of the latter. Hence, we did not use the ancestry-specific GWAS summary statistics.

1000 Genomes (1000 Genomes Project Consortium, 2015), HGDP (Bergstrom et al., 2020) and gnomAD v3 (Chen et al., 2022) were used to compute allele frequencies for different ethnic groups.

Bioinformatics: LD clumping was performed using PLINK 2.0 on the GWAS summary statistics, with a pvalue threshold of 5×10-8, unless otherwise specified (Chang et al., 2015). Allele frequencies were computed by individual using PLINK (Chang et al., 2015), and polygenic scores were computed using R (R Core Team, 2021) for individuals in the four 1KG super-populations (EUR, AFR, EAS, SAS), with AMR being excluded due to their admixture. Test of selection and genetic differentiation: The Fst enrichment test (Guo et al., 2018), which calculates the Fstq and Fst values (for sets of randomly matched SNPs), will be performed to test for selection acting on allelic differentiation. The decoupling between Qst and Fst is caused by the allelic covariance (θ B), which is the predominant component of selection at highly polygenic traits (Kremer & Le Corre, 2012). The covariance of allelic effects and frequencies can also be thought of as the between-population component of linkage disequilibrium (Storz and Kelly, 2008; Ma et al., 2010). Selection can lead to the accumulation of intergenic disequilibrium, which decouples differentiation at the gene and trait (or polygenic score) levels. This happens when alleles with similar effects are driven to similar frequencies within populations across multiple loci. Qst was computed using the formula Qst = σ^2 B / (σ^2 B + $2\sigma^2$ W) (Leinonen et al., 2013). Qst is defined as the level of genetically based population differentiation in quantitative traits (Li et al., 2019).

The total genetic variance is the variance of the polygenic scores across all individuals in all populations. The genetic variance within populations is the average variance of the polygenic scores within each population, weighted by the number of individuals in each population.

Qst is then calculated as the genetic variance among populations divided by the sum of the genetic variance among populations and twice the genetic variance within populations.

As a test of divergent selection, GWAS beta (or OR, odds ratio) were randomly flipped with a probability of 0.5. The A1 and A2 alleles were randomly shuffled with a probability of 0.5 (i.e., coin flip) to produce a null distribution of polygenic scores and calculate random Qst values.

Another measure of over-dispersion of phenotypes (or polygenic scores) closely related to Qst, Qx, will be calculated using the formula provided by Berg and Coop (2014). Qx will be much smaller than 1 for traits under stabilizing selection with the same optimum across populations, whereas diversifying selection will produce values larger than 1. P-values for the Qx statistic were computed using a randomization procedure based on randomizing the sign of the effect size estimates of the GWAS SNPs as done in Refoyo-Martinez et al. (2021).

For the Qst test, the Fst enrichment test and Qx, control variants were matched to SNP variants using vSampler (Huang et al., 2021). The effect of LD decay on mean population polygenic scores will be tested using the method described by Piffer (2021).

To investigate the variation in linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns across populations, the SNPs were inputted into LDlink (Machiela and Chanock, 2015). Variants within a +/- 500 Kb window of the query

doi.org/10.32388/HDJK5P

variant that had a pairwise R2 value greater than 0.01 were downloaded, using CEU (Utah residents with Northern and Western European ancestry), YRI (Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria), and JPT (Japanese in Tokyo, Japan) as reference populations.

The pairwise R2 values between the GWAS variant and the linked variants were then computed for CEU, YRI and JPT, and the correlation coefficient was used as a measure of differential LD decay across these populations compared to the query variant. A higher correlation between the CEU and YRI (or JPT) R2 values indicated a lower level of trans-ethnic LD decay. Genetic value scores (GVS) for CEU and YRI (or JPT) were calculated for each GWAS SNP by multiplying the frequency of the effect allele by the GWAS effect size. Other populations of interest could also be used to calculate genetic value scores in a similar manner.

To compute the correlations between polygenic scores and population IQ, we merged HGDP, 1KG and gnomAD datasets and when there were overlapping populations, the larger sample was retained. For example, the ASW and FIN in 1KG (N = 113) were replaced with the African American (N = 20,744) and Finnish (N = 5,316) gnomAD samples. The resulting dataset comprised 72 populations.

The data sources used for population average IQ and national average height were as follows: Lynn and Vanhanen (2012) for IQ data and NCD-RisC (2020) for height data. In cases where specific groups did not correspond to nations, alternative sources were consulted. The height data was obtained from various studies: Zeevi et al. (2019) for Ashkenazi Jews, Fryar et al. (2021) for African Americans, Whites, and Hispanics, Cacciari et al. (2006) for Italian regions, Lu et al. (2022) for Chinese regions, and Corsini (2008) for Sardinia. IQ data was sourced from Lynn & Cheng (2013) for Chinese regions, Piffer & Lynn (2014) for Italian regions, Dalliard (2017) for Hispanics, Malloy (2014) for Vietnam, Bakhiet and Lynn (2014) for Palestine, Lynn (2010) for Sardinia, and Shibaev & Lynn (2017) for the Yakut population.

3. Results

ANOVA

Polygenic scores were calculated for individuals in the four 1KG super-populations.

One-way ANOVA was run using the GWAS summary statistics for EA3, EA4, SCZ and Height 2022. Results revealed statistically significant differences between the group-level polygenic scores (Table 1), which suggest there are genetic differences between the populations studied that are associated with the traits examined.

GWAS	p-value	Omega ²
EA3	0.00e+00	0.607
EA4	0.00e+00	0.956
Sibling EA	5.65e-40	0.089
Schizophrenia	0.00e+00	0.619
Height	2.16e-107	0.220
Sibling Height	8.48e-128	0.255

Table 1.

The mean and distribution of individual scores can be visualized in the boxplots (Suppl. Figures 1a, b, c and 2a, b)

Tests of divergent selection

2.2. Randomization

Table 2 reports the results of the computation of Qst, random Qst, and Fst for the four 1KG superpopulations as well as Fstq (for random and GWAS SNPs, respectively). The distribution of random Qst and Fst values are visualized in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. Random Qst was calculated by randomly shuffling effect and non-effect alleles, and the shuffling process was repeated 1000 times to generate random Qst values. The Z score and p-value for Qst/Qst_random and Fstq/Fst are also reported.

LD clumping was performed on the SNPs, using two different R2 thresholds: 0.1 and 0.01. For Schizophrenia and Height this was not necessary because there were no SNPs within the same LD block. For EA3, EA4, Schizophrenia, and Height GWASs, the real Qst values were compared to their respective random Qst and Fst values. EA3 had Qst values of 0.435 (LD filter 0.1), 0.582 (LD 0.1), and 0.465 (LD 0.01), and all were significantly different from their respective random Qst and Fst values. EA4 had a Qst value of 0.91 (LD filter 0.1) and 0.864 (LD filter 0.01), and both were significantly different from their respective random Qst and Fst values. Schizophrenia had a Qst value of 0.406 (LD filter 0.1), which was significantly different from its respective random Qst and Fst values. Height 2022 had a Qst value of 0.123, which was

not significantly different from its respective random Qst and Fst values. On the other hand, the within family EA SNPs had Fst and Qst values that were not significantly different from random values.

The ratio between Qst and Fst reveals the degree of decoupling between phenotypic and genotypic differentiation. The Qst/Fst values are generally high (with the exception of height) and match theoretical predictions of strong divergent selection on traits controlled by a large number of loci (Kremer & Le Corre, 2012).

GWAS	LD filter*	Qst	Qst_random	Fstq	Fst	Z score; p (Qst/Qst_ _{random})	Z score; p (Fstq/Fst)	Qst/Fst
EA3	0.1	0.582	0.08	0.099	0.093	7.97; 0.001	2.96; 0.003	6.25
EA3	0.01	0.465	0.077	0.098	0.095	6.3; 0.001	1.24; 0.11	4.89
EA4	0.1	0.91	0.068	0.086	0.091	14.26; 0.001	-3.54; 0.95	10
EA4	0.01	0.864	0.067	0.083	0.093	14.22; 0.001	-5.84; 0.96	9.29
EA sibling	NA	0.046	0.057	0.06	0.074	-0.22; 0.483	-1.95; 0.98	0.62
SCZ	NA	0.406	0.087	0.118	0.096	4.62; 0.004	4.7; 0.001	4.23
Height	NA	0.123	0.096	0.109	0.095	0.31; 0.270	10.84; 0.001	1.29
Height sibling	0.1	0.250	0.091	0.093	0.088	2.09; 0.05	1.398; 0.075	2.84

Table 2. Global Qst and Fst values for GWAS and neutral SNPs

*NA values indicate that LD filtering was not possible because SNPs in the GWAS summary file were LD free.



Figure 1. Qst with reshuffled alleles and betas for polygenic scores



Figure 2. Fst enrichment test

Pairwise differences

The results of Fst analysis for two different population pairs (EUR-EAS and EUR-AFR) are presented in Table 3. The Fst values ranged from 0.081 to 0.149, indicating moderate genetic differentiation among populations.

To assess the significance of the Fst values, we compared them with the random Fst values generated by permutation tests. The Z score (Fstq/Fst) and p-values are also reported in Table 3.

For the EUR-EAS population pair, the Fst values for the polygenic scores EA3 and EA4 (LD filter 0.1) were 0.094 and 0.081, respectively. The corresponding random Fst values were 0.087 and 0.084, and the Z scores (Fst/Fst random) were 2.87 (p = 0.003) and -1.79 (p = 0.55), respectively. These results suggest

significant genetic differentiation between the EUR and EAS populations for the EA3 score but not for the EA4 score.

For the EUR-AFR population pair, the Fst values for schizophrenia and height were 0.096 and 0.149, respectively. The corresponding Fst random values were 0.089 and 0.11, and the Z scores (Fst/Fst random) were 5.23 (p = 0.001) and 5.23 (p = 0.001), respectively. These results suggest significant genetic differentiation between the EUR and AFR populations for both traits.

GWAS	LD filter	EUR – EAS				E	UR – AFR
		Fstq	Fst random	Z (Fstq/Fst random); p	Fstq	Fst random	Z score (Fstq/Fst random); p
EA3	0.1	0.094	0.087	2.87; 0.003	0.119	0.107	4.07; 0.001
EA4	0.1	0.081	0.084	-1.79; 0.55	0.101	0.115	-3.51; 0.975
Schizophrenia	NA	0.096	0.089	1.17; 0.11	0.149	0.112	5.23; 0.001
Height	NA	0.094	0.088	3.56; 0.001	0.129	0.109	10.27; 0.001
Sibship Height	0.1	0.087	0.089	-0.42; 0.638	0.132	0.112	2.96; 0.001

Table 3. WC pairwise Fst for GWAS and control SNPs*.

 * sibs= within-family (sibship) GWAS. LD indicates the LD-clumping R2 threshold value.

**NA values indicate that LD filtering was not possible because SNPs in the GWAS summary file were LD free.

Pairwise Qst values were calculated for two population pairs: EUR-EAS and EUR-AFR. The Qst values were computed using both real and shuffled beta weights, These values are reported in Table 4.

For the EUR-EAS population pair, the Qst value for the EA3 PGS was 0.044 based on real Beta weights, and 0.05 based on shuffled Beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was -0.1 with a p-value of 0.36. The Qst value for the EA4 PGS was 0.456 based on real beta weights, and 0.045 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was 7.6 with a p-value of 0.001.

The Qst value for the height PGS was 0.192 based on real Beta weights, and 0.057 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was 1.92 with a p-value of 0.059.

For the EUR-AFR population pair, the Qst value for Schizophrenia was 0.568 based on real beta weights, and 0.088 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was 4.73 with a p-value of.001. The Qst value for the EA3 PGS was 0.619 based on real beta weights, and 0.062 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was 6.83 with a p-value of.001. The Qst value for the EA4 PGS was 0.942 based on real Beta weights, and 0.057 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was 12.58 with a p-value of.001.

The Qst value for the polygenic score height was 0.013 based on real beta weights, and 0.076 based on shuffled beta weights. The Z score comparing these values was -0.67 with a p-value of 698.

Overall, these results provide evidence of divergent selection for some polygenic scores, particularly for EA3 and SCZ in the EUR-AFR and EA4 in the EUR-EAS and EUR-AFR population pair.

	EUR - EAS			EUR – AFR		
	Real Qst	Random Qst	Z; p	Real Qst	Random Qst	Z score; p
EA3*	0.044	0.050	-0.10; .360	0.619	0.062	6.83; .001
EA4 *	0.456	0.045	7.60; .001	0.942	0.057	12.58; .001
EA sibling	0.001	0.027	-0.72; .830	0.071	0.052	0.29; .257
Schizophrenia	0	0.050	-0.76; .911	0.568	0.088	4.73; .001
Height	0.192	0.057	1.92; .059	0.013	0.076	-0.67; .698
Sibs Height	0.091	0.048	0.72; 0.179	0.166	0.079	1.01; 0.151

Table 4. Qst pairwise values, computed using real and reshuffled beta weights.

*LD-clumping: R2= 0.1

Reliability of population-level polygenic scores

Table 5 reports the Cronbach's alpha of EA3, EA4, schizophrenia, and height. The values of Cronbach's alpha are reported for 33 populations for each genetic trait. In this context, the higher the Cronbach's alpha, the more reliable the PGS is across the 33 populations.

The table demonstrates that the reliability of population-level PGS varies across different genetic traits. EA3, EA4, and schizophrenia have relatively high reliability, while height and DKedu have moderate to low reliability. Within Family (WF) EA, on the other hand, exhibits a negative relationship, warranting further investigation.

GWAS	Cronbach's Alpha (33 pops)	# SNPs
EA3*	0.935	1695
EA4*	0.997	3734
Schizophrenia	0.835	342
Height	0.484	3772
Sibs EA	-0.604**	115
DKedu	0.494	4

Table 5. Cronbach's Alpha for EA3, EA4, SCZ and Height

*LD clumping= R2 0.1

^{**}p< 5*10^-5

Controlling for LD decay

We investigated the impact of LD decay on trans-ethnic polygenic score differences for four different polygenic scores (EA3, EA4, SCZ and height) across two population pairs: Europeans (CEU) and Africans (YRI), and Europeans (CEU) and East Asians (JPT). LD decay was measured by calculating the correlation coefficient (R2) between CEU and YRI or CEU and JPT R2 values across the GWAS SNPs. The average R2 value between CEU and YRI was found to be between 0.59 and 0.78, indicating the presence of moderate LD decay. LD decay for EUR-EAS was lower, with R2 ranging from 0.72 to 0.75. The GVS ("genetic value score" or weighted allele frequency) difference between CEU and YRI or CEU and JPT was then computed by multiplying the effect allele frequency by the GWAS beta. The correlation between the GVS difference and the amount of LD decay is reported in Table 6. The results are visualized in Figure 3. They show that LD decay did not significantly impact the trans-ethnic polygenic score difference for most of the

polygenic scores and population pairs. However, for the EUR-AFR EA4 and EUR-EAS EA4 and height EUR-EAS pairs, we observed a significant negative correlation between the GVS difference and the amount of LD decay. A negative correlation between GVS difference and lack of LD decay implies that LD decay is inflating the European PGS relative to the other population, as SNPs with lower LD decay have smaller PGS differences.

These findings suggest that the impact of LD decay on trans-ethnic polygenic score differences may vary across different polygenic scores and population pairs.

	r*	r x GVS difference	р
EUR-AFR EA3	0.59	0.02	0.47
EUR-EAS EA3	0.72	0.72 -0.0001	
EUR-AFR EA4	0.588	-0.059	0.005
EUR-EAS EA4	0.716	-0.083	0.002
EUR-AFR Height	0.628	0.016	0.385
EUR-EAS Height	0.75	-0.046	0.016
EUR-AFR SCZ	0.594	-0.015	0.8
EUR-EAS SCZ	0.725	-0.104	0.074

Table 6. LD decay and correlation with GVS difference.

*Average Pearson's r correlation coefficient between the CEU and other population (YRI or JPT) R2 values across the GWAS SNPs



Figure 3. Correlation between LD Decay and GVS difference

Selecting low LDD SNPs

To select SNPs with low LD decay for the EUR-AFR and EUR-EAS pairs, a threshold of r = 0.8 was chosen and applied separately to each population pair. Because LD decay patterns vary across population pairs, different SNPs will belong to the low LD group in each pair of populations. Hence retaining a single set of SNPs (corresponding to the intersection of the different sets) would result in a much smaller number of SNPs, reducing reliability. Cohen's d values for the group differences in polygenic scores were compared to those for the full set of SNPs and are reported in Table 7.

	Cohen's d raw	Cohen's d LDD adjusted
EUR-AFR EA3	3.17	1.05
EUR-EAS EA3	-1.12	-1.45
EUR-AFR EA4	10.12	2.2
EUR-EAS EA4	1.55	-0.5
EUR-AFR height	0.09	0.81
EUR-EAS height	1.45	0.42

Table 7.

Qx test

The Qx test (Berg and Coop, 2014) was carried out on EA3, SCZ, the sibship EA and height PGS. EA4 was omitted from the analysis because it was found to be strongly biased by differential LD-decay. The values of the Qx for the GWAS effect sizes and the SNPs with randomly flipped effect sizes are shown in table 8. There is evidence for overdispersion of EA3 and Height polygenic scores (p= 0.001 and 0.035, respectively) but not for the sibship-derived EA PGS.

	Qx	Qx neutral	р
EA3	52.82	4.63	0.001
EA sibling	3.35	3.84	0.490
Schizophrenia	14.08	5.14	0.027
Height	13.31	5.08	0.035
Height sibling	10.38	4.81	0.071

Table 8. Qx test results

Correlation with phenotypic means

The correlations between the average cognitive/educational polygenic scores and average population IQ were 0.87, 0.78 for EA3 and EA4, respectively (Figures 5,6).



Figure 5. Correlation between average IQ and EA3



As a measure of discriminant validity, we correlated the height PGS to average IQ, obtaining a correlation of circa 0 (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Correlation between average IQ and height polygenic scores

Conversely, the correlation between the Height PGS and average height was.74 (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Correlation between average height and height polygenic score

To test the discriminant validity of the cognitive PGS, it was correlated with average population height, yielding positive correlations of 0.54 and 0.51 for EA3 and EA4.

Both EA3 and EA4 were correlated to absolute latitude (r = 0.64 and 0.60, respectively). A multiple linear regression was performed with average height as the dependent variable and Height PGS + EA3 + Latitude as predictors. The standardized betas were 0.62 and 0.44 for the Height and EA3 PGS, respectively (Table 10).

	Standardized Beta
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.08)
Height PGS	0.62*** (0.10)
EA3	0.44*** (0.12)
Latitude	0.08 (0.13)
R2	0.82 (N=29)

Table 10. Regression of average height on Height PGS, EA3 and Latitude.

All continuous predictors are mean-centered and scaled by 1 standard deviation. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

These findings indicate that both the height and EA3 PGS are valid predictors of average height.

Both EA3 and latitude were significant predictors of average IQ (Table 11).

	Standardized Beta (S.E.)
(Intercept)	0.00 (0.08)
EA3	0.73*** (0.11)
Latitude	0.26* (0.11)
R2	0.83 (N=27)

Table 11. Regression of average IQ on EA3 and latitude.

All continuous predictors are mean-centered and scaled by 1 standard deviation. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05.

Replication: School performance GWAS (2023)

The scholastic performance PGS (DKedu) was strongly correlated to EA3 and EA4 (Figure 9).



Figure 9. Heatmap of cognitive PGS

Partial PGS

Partial polygenic scores were computed for the three local ancestry components (Amerindian, African, European) of the Admixed American/Latino population in gnomAD. This ethnic group is extremely heterogeneous, consisting of 5% of individuals who derive their genetic ancestry primarily from a single continental population, 60% from two continental populations, and 35% with three continental populations well-represented within their genome. The allele frequencies for the three local ancestry groups were made available by gnomAD in a VCF file. (<u>https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/news/2021-12-local-ancestry-inference-for-latino-admixed-american-samples-in-gnomad/</u>).

The partial and full PGS are very similar (Figure 10). The partial AFR PGS is lower than the full PGS because the latter is computed using the African/African American sample in the gnomAD dataset, which is mixed with Europeans, whereas the local ancestry is "purely" African.



Figure 10. Partial and full polygenic scores in the gnomAD Latino population.

Discussion

Traditional tests of population genetic differentiation based on individual loci (Fst enrichment test) offered mixed evidence for over-differentiation in allele frequencies (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 2). For the global test comprising four superpopulations, EA3, the test attained significance only without LD clumping and with LD clumping using a threshold of R2 = 0.1. The results became non-significant with a stricter LD threshold of 0.01. Conversely, there was evidence of population under-differentiation for EA4 because the GWAS Fst values were significantly lower than the average Fst of the random SNPs. On the other hand, SCZ and height had significantly higher Fst than the average Fst of random SNPs.

Tests of polygenic score differentiation such as Qst in contrast yielded significant results for the cognitive traits but not for height (figure 1, table 2, 4). Qst values of polygenic scores were significantly higher than those obtained from reshuffling the effect alleles.

Qst indicates the proportion of phenotypic variance accounted for by additive genetic components between populations to the total variance. Qst values ranged from 0.12 for height to 0.58 for EA3 and 0.91 for EA4, indicating that a substantial proportion of variation in polygenic scores is found between populations. More importantly, Fst underestimates the amount of phenotypic differentiation due to additive genetic effects, because it is a single-gene test that does not take into account the covariance of allelic effects between populations, which can cause large differences in phenotypic means even with low Fst values. Kremer and Le Corre (2012) showed that the genetic differentiation at the level of individual loci (Fst) does not necessarily correspond to the genetic differentiation underlying phenotypic traits (Qst). This is because Qst considers the additive genetic variance between populations, while Fst only measures the allele frequency differences.

Consequently, Bird's assumption (Bird, 2021) that the Fst value estimated from GWAS-identified SNPs should equal the phenotypic variance if all between-group variation is due to additive genetic effects is theoretically flawed. His oversight when accounting for cross-population LD leads him to equate phenotypic (IQ) group differences with Fst, and to conclude that genetic differentiation cannot explain between-group variance in IQ scores because the Fst value is much lower than the phenotypic Fst (Qst) calculated using his equation 2.

Bird calculated phenotypic Fst values ranging from 0.51 to 0.6, based on an estimated EUR-AFR difference of 30.8 IQ points and h^2 = 0.35 or 0.5 and observed that these are much higher than the EUR-AFR actual Fst (0.11), which would instead translate to a 4.7 – 8.5 IQ points EUR-AFR difference.

In fact, as shown in the introduction, Qst (erroneously named "phenotypic Fst" by Bird) is often much higher than Fst as shown by mathematical modeling (Kremer and Le Corre, 2013) and empirical results (Berg and Coop, 2014). The equivalence between Qst and Fst (Qst = Fst) is expected under neutrality, and higher values of Qst (Qst > Fst) indicate divergent selection (Leinonen et al., 2013).

Bird's failure to acknowledge the difference between Qst and Fst leads him to expect Qst = Fst and to discard deviations from this equivalence as due to environmental factors or erroneous estimates of average IQ (Bird, 2021).

We derived a Qst value of 0.61 for EA3 concerning the EUR-AFR difference, which aligns with Bird's estimate of Qst derived from phenotypic IQ (erroneously misinterpreted as Fst by Bird) of 0.6. Indeed, Pst ("pseudo Qst" or the phenotypic equivalent of Qst) = Qst when environmental variance is zero (Saether et al., 2007).

Divergent selection often occurs in two phases: initially capturing advantageous allelic associations at various loci in distinct populations, followed by targeting changes in allelic frequencies. This supports the idea that allelic associations contribute to rapid genetic divergence between populations more effectively than changes in allelic frequencies. The disparity between Qst and Fst becomes more pronounced in traits governed by a large number of loci experiencing strong divergent selection (Kremer & Le Corre, 2012), and this effect is expected to be significant for traits such as educational attainment, schizophrenia, and height. This in turn reinforces the findings of Berg and Coop (2014) that the power to detect population differentiation in polygenic scores stems almost entirely from the LD–like component, and the differentiation at the individual loci (i.e. Fst) has very little impact. Indeed, the Qst values were much higher than the Fst values for the neutral alleles, with Qst/Fst ratios of 6, 10 and 4 for EA3, EA4 and SCZ respectively (Table 2). Phenotypic traits with Qst significantly larger than the Fst estimated from neutral markers are considered as being under local adaptation, whereas Qst = Fst is the expectation under neutrality (Leinonen et al., 2013). Moreover, Qst was much higher than Fst estimated from GWAS SNPs. This "decoupling" is caused by the allelic covariance component (Kremer and Le Corre, 2012; Berg and Coop, 2014).

In fact, the polygenic selection test carried out by Bird (2021) that compared the squared difference of polygenic scores to a null distribution, yielded highly significant results, showing a large EUR-AFR divergence. The same test run using within-family effect sizes failed to reach statistical significance. However, this is likely due to the small sample size employed in within-family GWAS, much smaller than the population GWAS (N = 55K vs 1 and 3 million for EA3 and EA4, respectively).

Remarkably, none of the within-family SNPs reached statistical significance (after correction for multiple testing) and only 15 SNPs passed the p< $5*10^{-6}$ filter after clumping with LD < 0.1. The null effect of within-family SNPs was evident both from the Fst enrichment test (Table 2) and the tests of polygenic score overdispersion such as Qst and Qx (Tables 4 and 5, respectively). This lack of validity was corroborated by the negative Cronbach's Alpha values (Table 6).

However, the significant overdispersion of education-related polygenic scores derived from the traditional between-family GWAS (Lee et al., 2018) was confirmed by the Qx test, which achieved values much higher than the null expectation (table 5). The Qx values for the height PGS also barely exceeded random expectations (p = 0.035).

SCZ had Qst values significantly higher than chance expectation (Qst = 0.57), but this was restricted to the EUR-AFR difference, with no differentiation between EUR-EAS (Table 4). This replicates earlier findings of a strong association between schizophrenia PGS and African ancestry (Curtis, 2018). The mean EUR-AFR difference was 10 times as high as the mean difference between European schizophrenia cases and controls.

Although there were cross-population differences in LD patterns, they did not significantly affect most of the polygenic score differences (Table 7). Nonetheless, LD decay did cause the European mean to be inflated compared to Africans and East Asians (Table 8). This was evident in the significant negative correlation between the GVS difference and the amount of LD decay (Figure 4). A similar effect was observed for the height PGS for the EUR-EAS difference. Moreover, when only the SNPs with low LD differences (r > 0.8) were selected, East Asians had higher EA4 than Europeans (Cohen's d= -0.5 vs 1.55), and the gap in the height PGS was reduced (d = 0.42 vs 1.45). Controlling for LD decay also decreased the EUR-AFR gap in EA4, with the value of Cohen's d decreasing from 10 to 2 and in EA3 from 3.17 to 1.05. It is also likely that the extremely high EA4 global Qst value (0.91) was inflated by LD decay.

In all cases except for the height EUR-AFR difference, the bias due to varying LD patterns favored the European population. This bias results from the frequency distribution of non-causal SNPs. Although exploring the origins of this bias is beyond the scope of this study, it could be explored in future research.

The partial polygenic scores calculated using the admixed Latino population revealed a similar pattern to those computed using relatively admixed individuals from gnomAD and 1KG (Figure 10). The low score obtained by the Amerindian genetic component replicated earlier results by Piffer (2013), who observed a discrepancy between the relatively low genetic distance of Native American from East Asians and the large gap in polygenic scores (Piffer, 2013). This finding is supported by the results of admixture analyses of different American ethnic groups, which found that Amerindian ancestry is about equally negatively associated as African ancestry with general cognitive ability among African, Hispanic, and other American subsamples (Fuerst, Hu and Connor, 2021).

There was a strong correlation between the new polygenic score for educational attainment (EA4) and the old (EA3). However, the former had a weaker correlation with IQ (r = 0.78 vs 0.87) because it had a strong European bias caused by differences in LD patterns.

Remarkably, a new polygenic score of school grades showing strong genetic correlations with educational attainment ($r_g = 0.90$) and intelligence ($r_g = 0.80$) (Rajagopal et al., 2023) was highly correlated to EA3 and EA4 (r = 0.91 and 0.76, respectively), replicating the cross-population validity of education PGS (Piffer, 2021).

The EA3 and EA4 PGS were both correlated to latitude at r = 0.6. A regression model showed that both EA3 and latitude were significant predictors of average IQ (Table 11). This suggests that higher latitude

may confer an advantage in cognitive performance via environmental factors, such as limiting the detrimental effects of heat (Piil et al., 2020).

On the other hand, both EA3 and the height PGS predicted average height (Table 10). This suggests that cognitive abilities have an impact on average height by improving economic conditions.

Finally, we introduced Cronbach's alpha (a measure borrowed from psychometrics) to assess the reliability of population polygenic scores. In psychometrics, tests are supposed to gauge the same underlying construct (like anxiety, depression, intelligence, and so on). If the test is reliable, then we would expect all the items on the test to correlate highly with each other – since they all aim to measure the same thing. Cronbach's alpha quantifies the degree of intercorrelation among test items. It ranges from 0 to 1. A higher Cronbach's alpha – generally, above 0.7 – indicates good internal consistency, meaning the items on the test are all measuring the same underlying construct.

When applied to population-level polygenic scores, the strength of the coefficient depends on the magnitude of cross-population LD ("covariance of allelic effects") and the number of SNPs. However, instead of the underlying construct, it is the divergent selection pressure that causes the inter-correlation between the items (i.e. frequency of the GWAS effect allele weighted by the effect size).

In summary, this study investigated the relationship between genetic differentiation in various traits, such as educational attainment (EA3 and EA4), height, and schizophrenia, using traditional Fst enrichment tests and polygenic score differentiation tests such as Qst. The results revealed mixed evidence for over-differentiation in allele frequencies using Fst tests, while Qst tests yielded significant results for cognitive traits but not for height. The study also highlighted that Fst underestimates the amount of phenotypic differentiation due to additive genetic effects, as it does not account for the covariance of allelic effects between populations. This finding calls into question Bird's (2021) assumption that Fst should equal the phenotypic variance if all between-group variation is due to additive genetic effects.

The study's findings emphasize the importance of considering both Fst and Qst values in assessing population genetic differentiation, as well as the need to account for the covariance of allelic effects between populations when interpreting results. The results also demonstrate that allelic associations contribute to rapid genetic divergence between populations more effectively than changes in allele frequencies. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in traits governed by a large number of loci experiencing strong divergent selection, such as educational attainment, schizophrenia, and height.

The results of the selection tests are greatly affected by the Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) used to derive polygenic scores. This influence can be discerned from the disparities observed when comparing different versions of these studies, such as between EA3 and EA4, or when contrasting GWAS based on sibling data versus those relying on broader population data.

However, it is currently unfeasible to account for all possible sources of bias and inaccuracies when estimating these polygenic scores on a population-wide level. For instance, potential sources of bias might stem from the lack of representation of diverse populations in the GWAS databases, which primarily contain data from people of European ancestry. Another source of error can be the complex nature of many traits that are influenced by a multitude of genes interacting in ways that we do not fully understand yet.

Moreover, population-based GWAS results are confounded by population stratification, assortative mating and indirect genetic effects. Within-family genetic association estimates are relatively free from these sources of biases, but the studies published so far rely on small sample sizes that lack the power to detect meaningful associations. For example, the sibship EA and Height GWAS relied on sample sizes of 150K and 129K individuals (Howe et al., 2022), respectively, much smaller than the population based GWAS sample sizes of 3 and 5 million individuals (Okbay et al., 2022; Yengo et al., 2022). This results in few or no GWAS-significant SNPs, and the lack of GWAS significant SNPs affects between population genetic estimates more strongly than within-population genomic prediction.

Therefore, the findings drawn from these tests should be viewed as provisional and subject to alteration. This is because new GWAS, incorporating more diverse population samples and using more advanced methodologies, will continue to be conducted. As we refine these techniques and broaden the scope of our research, our understanding of polygenic scores and their implications will evolve, and this will likely change the outcomes of the selection tests.

Supplementary Figures



Figure 1a. EA3 superpopulations PGS



Figure 1b. EA4 superpopulations PGS

Educational attainment (Sibship GWAS)



Figure 2s. Within family EA superpopulations PGS



Figure 3s. SCZ superpopulations PGS



Figure 4s. Height superpopulations PGS



Figure 5s. Sibship height superpopulations PGS

References

- 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. (2015). A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature, 526(7571), 68-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15393</u>
- Bakhiet, S. F. A., Lynn, R. (2014). A study of the IQ in Palestine. Intelligence, 47, 10–11. ISSN 0160–2896. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2014.08.004</u>
- Berg JJ, Coop G (2014) A Population Genetic Signal of Polygenic Adaptation. PLOS Genetics 10(8): e1004412. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004412</u>
- Berg, J. J., Harpak, A., Sinnott-Armstrong, N., Joergensen, A. M., Mostafavi, H., Field, Y.,... Coop, G. (2021). Reduced signal for polygenic adaptation of height in UK Biobank. eLife, 10, e66288. doi: 10.7554/eLife.66288
- Bergström et al. (2020) Insights into human genetic variation and population history from 929 diverse genomes. Science, 367.

- Bird K. A. (2021). No support for the hereditarian hypothesis of the Black-White achievement gap using polygenic scores and tests for divergent selection. American journal of physical anthropology, 175(2), 465–476. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.24216</u>
- Cacciari, E., Milani, S., Balsamo, A., Spada, E., Bona, G., Cavallo, L., Cerutti, F., Gargantini, L., Greggio, N., Tonini, G., & Cicognani, A. (2006). Italian cross-sectional growth charts for height, weight and BMI (2 to 20 yr). Journal of endocrinological investigation, 29(7), 581–593. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03344156</u>
- Chang, C. C., Chow, C. C., Tellier, L. C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., & Lee, J. J. (2015). Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience, 4(1), s13742–015–0047–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8</u>
- Chen, S., Francioli, L. C., Goodrich, J. K., Collins, R. L., Wang, Q., Alföldi, J., Watts, N. A., Vittal, C., Gauthier, L. D., Poterba, T., Wilson, M. W., Tarasova, Y., Phu, W., Yohannes, M. T., Koenig, Z., Farjoun, Y., Banks, E., Donnelly, S., Gabriel, S., Gupta, N., Ferriera, S., Tolonen, C., Novod, S., Bergelson, L., Roazen, D., Ruano-Rubio, V., Covarrubias, M., Llanwarne, C., Petrillo, N., Wade, G., Jeandet, T., Munshi, R., Tibbetts, K., gnomAD Project Consortium, O'Donnell-Luria, A., Solomonson, M., Seed, C., Martin, A. R., Talkowski, M. E., Rehm, H. L., Daly, M. J., Tiao, G., Neale, B. M., MacArthur, D. G., & Karczewski, K. J. (2022). A genome-wide mutational constraint map quantified from variation in 76,156 human genomes. bioRxiv, 2022.03.20.485034. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.20.485034</u>.
- Corsini, C.A. (2008). Statura, salute e migrazioni: le leve militari italiane. Forum Edizioni. ISBN: 978-8884204448
- Curtis D. (2018). Polygenic risk score for schizophrenia is more strongly associated with ancestry than with schizophrenia. Psychiatric genetics, 28(5), 85–89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/YPG.00000000000000006</u>
- Dalliard (2017). Racial and Ethnic Differences in Cognitive Skills in Working-Age Native-Born Americans. Human Varieties. Retrieved from <u>https://humanvarieties.org/2017/09/16/racial-and-</u><u>ethnic-differences-in-cognitive-skills-in-working-age-native-born-americans/</u>
- Fahed, A. C., Aragam, K. G., Hindy, G., Chen, Y. I., Chaudhary, K., Dobbyn, A., Krumholz, H. M., Sheu, W. H. H., Rich, S. S., Rotter, J. I., Chowdhury, R., Cho, J., Do, R., Ellinor, P. T., Kathiresan, S., & Khera, A. V. (2021). Transethnic Transferability of a Genome-Wide Polygenic Score for Coronary Artery Disease. Circulation. Genomic and precision medicine, 14(1), e003092. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGEN.120.003092
- Field, Y., Boyle, E. A., Telis, N., Gao, Z., Gaulton, K. J., Golan, D.,... Daly, M. J. (2016). Detection of human adaptation during the past 2,000 years. Science, 354(6313), 760-764. doi: 10.1126/science.aag0776

- Fryar, C. D., Carroll, M. D., Gu, Q., Afful, J., & Ogden, C. L. (2021). Anthropometric reference data for children and adults: United States, 2015–2018. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 3(46).
- Fuerst, J. G. R., Hu, M., & Connor, G. (2021). Genetic Ancestry and General Cognitive Ability in a Sample of American Youths. Mankind Quarterly, 62(1), 186–216. <u>https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2021.62.1.11</u>
- Fuerst, J. G. R., Shibaev, V., & Kirkegaard, E. O. W. (2023). A Genetic Hypothesis for American Race/Ethnic Differences in Mean [i] g[/i]: A Reply to Warne (2021) with Fifteen New Empirical Tests Using the ABCD Dataset. Mankind Quarterly, 63(4), 527–600. <u>https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2023.63.4.2</u>
- Gratten, J., Wray, N. R., Keller, M. C., & Visscher, P. M. (2014). Large-scale genomics unveils the genetic architecture of psychiatric disorders. Nature Neuroscience, 17(6), 782-790. doi: 10.1038/nn.3708
- Höllinger I, Pennings PS, Hermisson J (2019) Polygenic adaptation: From sweeps to subtle frequency shifts. PLoS Genet 15(3): e1008035. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008035</u>
- Hou, K., Ding, Y., Xu, Z., Huang, L., Wu, Y., & Zhou, J. J. (2023). Causal effects on complex traits are similar for common variants across segments of different continental ancestries within admixed individuals. Nature Genetics. Advance online publication. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-023-01338-6</u>
- Howe, L. J., Nivard, M. G., Morris, T. T., Hansen, A. F., Rasheed, H., Cho, Y., Chittoor, G., Ahlskog, R., Lind, P. A., Palviainen, T., van der Zee, M. D., Cheesman, R., Mangino, M., Wang, Y., Li, S., Klaric, L., Ratliff, S. M., Bielak, L. F., Nygaard, M., Giannelis, A., ... Davies, N. M. (2022). Within-sibship genome-wide association analyses decrease bias in estimates of direct genetic effects. Nature genetics, 54(5), 581–592. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01062-7</u>
- Huang, D., Wang, Z., Zhou, Y., Liang, Q., Sham, P. C., Yao, H., & Li, M. J. (2021). vSampler: fast and annotation-based matched variant sampling tool. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 37(13), 1915–1917. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa883</u>
- Kremer, A., & Le Corre, V. (2012). Decoupling of differentiation between traits and their underlying genes in response to divergent selection. Heredity, 108, 375–385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2011.81</u>
- Latta, R. G. (1998). Differentiation of allelic frequencies at quantitative trait loci affecting locally adaptive traits. The American Naturalist, 151(2), 283–292.
- Leinonen, T., McCairns, R. J., O'Hara, R. B., & Merilä, J. (2013). Q(ST)-F(ST) comparisons: evolutionary and ecological insights from genomic heterogeneity. Nature reviews. Genetics, 14(3), 179–190. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3395</u>
- Le Corre, V., & Kremer, A. (2003). Genetic variability at neutral markers, quantitative trait loci and trait in a subdivided population under selection. Genetics, 164(3), 1205–1219.

- Le Corre, V., & Kremer, A. (2012). The genetic differentiation at quantitative trait loci under local adaptation. Molecular Ecology, 21, 1548–1566. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05479.x</u>
- Li, Z., Löytynoja, A., Fraimout, A., & Merilä, J. (2019). Effects of marker type and filtering criteria on QST-FST comparisons. Royal Society Open Science, 6(11), 190666. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.190666</u>
- Lu, G., Hu, Y., Yang, Z. et al. Geographic latitude and human height Statistical analysis and case studies from China. Arab J Geosci 15, 335 (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-09335-x</u>
- Lynn, R. (2010). In Italy, North–South differences in IQ predict differences in income, education, infant mortality, stature, and literacy. Intelligence, 38(1), 93–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2009.07.004</u>
- Lynn, R., & Vanhanen, T. (2012). Intelligence: A unifying construct for the social sciences. Ulster Institute for Social Research.
- Lynn, R., & Cheng, H. (2013). Differences in intelligence across thirty-one regions of China and their economic and demographic correlates. Intelligence, 41(5), 553–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2013.07.009
- Ma, X. F., Hall, D., Onge, K. R., Jansson, S., & Ingvarsson, P. K. (2010). Genetic differentiation, clinal variation and phenotypic associations with growth cessation across the Populus tremula photoperiodic pathway. Genetics, 186(3), 1033–1044. <u>https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.1208734</u>
- Machiela, M.J., & Chanock, S.J. (2015). LDlink: a web-based application for exploring populationspecific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. Bioinformatics, 31 21, 3555-7.
- Malloy, J. (2014). HVGiq: Vietnam. Human Varieties. Retrieved from <u>https://humanvarieties.org/2014/06/19/hvgiq-vietnam/</u>
- Martin, A. R., Kanai, M., Kamatani, Y., Okada, Y., Neale, B. M., & Daly, M. J. (2019). Clinical use of current polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. Nature genetics, 51(4), 584–591. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0379-x</u>
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) (2020). Height and body-mass index trajectories of school-aged children and adolescents from 1985 to 2019 in 200 countries and territories: a pooled analysis of 2181 population-based studies with 65 million participants. Lancet (London, England), 396(10261), 1511–1524. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31859-6</u>
- Okbay, A., Wu, Y., Wang, N., Jayashankar, H., Bennett, M., Nehzati, S. M., Sidorenko, J., Kweon, H., Goldman, G., Gjorgjieva, T., Jiang, Y., Hicks, B., Tian, C., Hinds, D. A., Ahlskog, R., Magnusson, P. K. E., Oskarsson, S., Hayward, C., Campbell, A., Porteous, D. J., ... Young, A. I. (2022). Polygenic prediction of

educational attainment within and between families from genome-wide association analyses in 3 million individuals. Nature genetics, 54(4), 437–449. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01016-z</u>

- Piffer, D. (2013). Factor Analysis of Population Allele Frequencies as a Simple, Novel Method of Detecting Signals of Recent Polygenic Selection: The Example of Educational Attainment and IQ. Mankind Quarterly, 54(2), 168–200. <u>https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2013.54.2.3</u>
- Piffer D. (2016). Evidence of polygenic selection on human stature inferred from spatial distribution of allele frequencies [version 3; peer review: 1 approved with reservations, 1 not approved].
 F1000Research, 4:15 (<u>https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6002.3</u>)
- Piffer, D. (2021). Divergent selection on height and cognitive ability: evidence from Fst and polygenic scores. OpenPsych. <u>https://doi.org/10.26775/op.2021.04.03</u>
- Piffer, D., & Lynn, R. (2014). New evidence for differences in fluid intelligence between north and south Italy and against school resources as an explanation for the north-south IQ differential. Intelligence, 46, 246-249. ISSN 0160-2896. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2014.07.006</u>
- Piil, J. F., Christiansen, L., Morris, N. B., Mikkelsen, C. J., Ioannou, L. G., Flouris, A. D., Lundbye-Jensen, J., & Nybo, L. (2020). Direct exposure of the head to solar heat radiation impairs motor-cognitive performance. Scientific reports, 10(1), 7812. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64768-w</u>
- Pritchard, J. K., Pickrell, J. K., & Coop, G. (2010). The genetics of human adaptation: hard sweeps, soft sweeps, and polygenic adaptation. Current biology: CB, 20(4), R208–R215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.055
- R Core Team. (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- Rabinowitz, J. A., Kuo, S. I., Felder, W., Musci, R. J., Bettencourt, A., Benke, K., Sisto, D. Y., Smail, E., Uhl, G., Maher, B. S., Kouzis, A., & Ialongo, N. S. (2019). Associations between an educational attainment polygenic score with educational attainment in an African American sample. Genes, brain, and behavior, 18(5), e12558. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12558</u>
- Rajagopal, V. M., Ganna, A., Coleman, J. R. I., Allegrini, A., Voloudakis, G., Grove, J., Als, T. D., Horsdal, H. T., Petersen, L., Appadurai, V., Schork, A., Buil, A., Bulik, C. M., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Hougaard, D. M., Mors, O., Nordentoft, M., Werge, T., iPSYCH-Broad Consortium, ... Demontis, D. (2023). Genome-wide association study of school grades identifies genetic overlap between language ability, psychopathology and creativity. Scientific reports, 13(1), 429. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-26845-0</u>

- Refoyo-Martínez, A., Liu, S., Jørgensen, A. M., Jin, X., Albrechtsen, A., Martin, A. R., & Racimo, F. (2021). How robust are cross-population signatures of polygenic adaptation in humans? Peer Community Journal, 1, e22. <u>https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.35</u>
- Saether, S. A., Fiske, P., Kålås, J. A., Kuresoo, A., Luigujõe, L., Piertney, S. B., Sahlman, T., & Höglund, J. (2007). Inferring local adaptation from QST-FST comparisons: neutral genetic and quantitative trait variation in European populations of great snipe. Journal of evolutionary biology, 20(4), 1563–1576. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2007.01328.x
- Shibaev, V., & Lynn, R. (2017). The Intelligence of Yakuts and Ethnic Russians in Yakutia. Mankind Quarterly, 57(4), 680–686. <u>https://doi.org/10.46469/mq.2017.57.4.11</u>
- Storz, J. F., & Kelly, J. K. (2008). Effects of spatially varying selection on nucleotide diversity and linkage disequilibrium: insights from deer mouse globin genes. Genetics, 180(1), 367-379. doi: 10.1534/genetics.108.088732
- Trubetskoy, V., Pardiñas, A.F., Qi, T., et al. (2022). Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. Nature, 604, 502–508. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04434-5</u>.
- Turchin, M., Chiang, C., Palmer, C. et al. (2012). Evidence of widespread selection on standing variation in Europe at height-associated SNPs. Nat Genet 44, 1015–1019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2368</u>
- Vilhjálmsson, B. J., Yang, J., Finucane, H. K., Gusev, A., Lindström, S., Ripke, S., Genovese, G., Loh, P. R., Bhatia, G., Do, R., Hayeck, T., Won, H. H., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, Discovery, Biology, and Risk of Inherited Variants in Breast Cancer (DRIVE) study, Kathiresan, S., Pato, M., Pato, C., Tamimi, R., Stahl, E., Zaitlen, N., Pasaniuc, B., ... Price, A. L. (2015). Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. American journal of human genetics, 97(4), 576–592. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.09.001</u>
- Wienbrandt, L., Ellinghaus, D. (2022). EagleImp: fast and accurate genome-wide phasing and imputation in a single tool, Bioinformatics, Volume 38 (22), 4999–5006, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac637</u>
- Yair, S., & Coop, G. (2022). Population differentiation of polygenic score predictions under stabilizing selection. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences, 377(1852), 20200416. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2020.0416
- Yengo, L., Vedantam, S., Marouli, E., et al. (2022). A saturated map of common genetic variants associated with human height. Nature, 610, 704–712. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05275-y</u>
- Zeevi D, Bloom JS, Sadhu MJ, Ben Yehuda A, Zangen D, Levy-Lahad E, et al. (2019) Analysis of the genetic basis of height in large Jewish nuclear families. PLoS Genet 15(7): e1008082.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008082

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.