

Review of: "Process for Implementing a Quality Management System (QMS) Adapted to Architectural Practices in Tunisia, in the Case of ISO 9001"

Jose Andres Jimenez¹

¹ Universidad de Alcalá de Henares

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to review the article.

Here are my conclusions:

The abstract is very poor; a basic structure would consist of a brief introduction briefly describing the importance or relevance of the topic and stating the purpose of the study. State the main objective of the study or hypothesis being tested. Include the methods used to carry out the research. State a summary of the main findings of the study, ending with conclusions.

The same goes for the structure of the article; it does not follow a clear order: Title, Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusions.

Some sections, such as section 5, which appears at the end, seem more appropriate for the discussion or state of the art. It does not seem very appropriate to include it after the discussion.

The discussion seems to be oriented only towards ISO 9001, although in the introduction of the abstract it seems that different alternatives are being evaluated.

The conclusions as to why ISO 9001 is the most appropriate standard are not justified. More detail should be included in the justification.

There are whole sections that do not contain any bibliographic references (e.g., section 2).

Other references mentioned in the article are not referenced: ISO 9001, ISO 14001, etc.