

Review of: "Efficient Exploration of Silicon Derived Benefits to Combat Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Fruit Crops"

PARTHASARATHI THEIVASIGAMANI¹

¹ Vellore Institute of Technology

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

Review report: Efficient Exploration of Silicon Derived Benefits to Combat Biotic and Abiotic Stresses in Fruit Crops

The overall manuscript is inordinate/enormous which will be tedious for the target audience, so it is encouraged for authors to be precise in their content. So authors are advised to thoughtfully cut short the manuscript without losing the essence of the manuscript.

When it comes to the molecular mechanism involved in Si uptake and Transport it should have specific pathways related to the mechanism which will add weightage to the manuscript moreover in table 1 the Si transporter genes are also included try to add the pathways as an overall correction.

But authors are confusing when they speak about Vegetable crops in abiotic stress since the title speaks about fruit crops.

The document should be proofread to eliminate grammatical errors, language check should be done thoroughly line by line to eliminate all the errors, inconsistent spelling and capitalization are observed across the manuscript or it should be corrected by a native speaker for instance, please find a few errors below minor errors should be avoided/checked before submitting the manuscript.

In Introduction second line it is mentioned as "Police Maker" instead of "Policymakers"

In page no 4, Paragraph 3 first line "Baanana" is misspelt "Banana"

In Fig 2 "Modifying difeerent morphological" different spelling should be corrected

The text lines should be justified for the overall manuscript

The authors have explained all the heavy metal stress in a good way but it is not specific it is generally written.

The authors should also include a few more biotic stress factors at the same time the authors should thoughtfully take care of the size of the manuscript

This manuscript can go for publication if the authors are addressing all the above mentioned minor revisions. Table 2 has all the fruit list with references and it is clear. The manuscript is well-drafted by authors technically except for a few above-mentioned points.

