

# Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Giovanni Boccia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Salerno

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is very interesting because it is crucial to analyze the factors that influence vaccination choices. The analysis of socio-economic and cultural factors is remarkable, as it allowed to define what might be the reasons that led to the increased adhesion of vaccines. Public health professionals who are aware of these factors can direct prevention campaigns more effectively, which is an important achievement. I would like to highlight some aspects that authors should consider in order to strengthen the scientific significance of their research.

In the ABSTRACT, there is an error in the following sentence reported in the results subsection "93.0% were vaccinated against COVID 19 with more one more doses in total" where "more" is repeated inappropriately instead "one or more". The authors could kindly check that sentence.

One point to note is that the sample examined concerns only those who have visited a health centre in Northern Cyprus. This is crucial to the outcome of the results. It would have been useful to involve a sample of people even outside the sanitary environment.

In order to be complete, it would be useful to include the questionnaire given to the participants in the study in the annexes to the manuscript, specifying the way in which it was administered.

The percentages reported in the Conclusions section can be moved to the Results section.

Hoping that these suggestions can be useful, I wish you good luck with your publication.