

# Review of: "first-workflow-Playarists v1"

Marta Soricetti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Bologna

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Overview

The “first-workflow-Playarists” is overall well-structured and clear in showing the planned methodology step-by-step, allowing the reproducibility of the work. The research questions are clear and presented according to a temporal logic: first of all, the retrieving of all the publications belonging to one of the journals listed in the ERIH-PLUS index of Social Science and Humanities included in OpenCitations Meta Database, then the counting of the disciplines with more publications and of the countries providing the largest number of publications and journals.

## Title and abstract

The protocol is written in a correct and clear way, I would suggest just to change the title of the protocol, in order to allow the reader to understand immediately the purposes of the methodology described. For the same sake of clarity, it could be a good practice to specify where the output of the workflow will be made available, in order to ensure FAIR data treatment.

## Protocol's section

The methodology has a clear skeleton and different ideas about the practical implementation of it are presented. About this, I would suggest you to avoid the extensive use of the OpenCitations Meta SPARQL endpoint (sections 1.1 and 4) and to download the entire dump, in order to speed up the process and have more freedom in the treatment of data.

In section 1.2 the authors say that if ISSNs do not have a corresponding entry in the Open Access dictionary, they assume that the corresponding journals are not open access. In this case, it could be better to treat this kind of data in a different way, maybe using the value “unknown” instead of “false” and include these findings in the research's results. In this case, the pie chart showing the proportion of Open Access SSH journals (according to ERIH-PLUS) based on DOAJ data (section 5) could have, for instance, another segment showing the lack of information about this particular topic.