

## Review of: "Cultural and Regional Influences on Global Al Apprehension"

Otilia Manta<sup>1</sup>

1 Romanian-American University, Romania

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors of the paper address a relevant topic, highlighting within the work that "regional differences in AI governance stem from distinct socio-economic conditions, political frameworks, and cultural values." Furthermore, we believe that the paper's multiplier effects could be emphasized by the authors starting from the abstract, especially since the dynamic evolution of artificial intelligence is globally recognized. Additionally, the ethical aspects of AI highlighted by the authors deserve appreciation.

The research methodology is appropriate and adequately mentioned in the paper; the authors utilized research tools specific to comparative analysis to examine regional variations in the understanding of AI, as well as to highlight scientific literature and relevant empirical studies.

The results of the study are presented descriptively and graphically through the figures presented. Moreover, the authors point out "significant gaps in the predominant AI discourse, which frequently neglects the perspectives of non-Western societies." However, we suggest that the authors emphasize their own innovative scientific contributions to the specialized literature.

The conclusions are adequately presented, but we recommend that the authors highlight the study's limitations as well as directions for future research.

We congratulate the research team on the topic of the paper and suggest revising it in line with the above-mentioned proposals.

Qeios ID: HHHW8N · https://doi.org/10.32388/HHHW8N