

Peer Review

Review of: "Society's Impactful Decision-Making: Every Coin Has Two Sides, After All, Ranging from Utopia to Dystopia"

Giacomo Di Foggia¹

1. University of Milano - Bicocca, Italy

Dear author, the subject and aims of this interesting paper are presented in the introduction. However, it would be useful to clarify the research question more clearly in order to strengthen the structure of the work. Also, it is suggested that the gap in the literature that the contribution aims to fill and how the article differs from previous studies be clarified.

It would be useful to explain more explicitly how the proposed analysis contributes to the existing debate on the relationship between utopian narratives and possible dystopian drifts in public policies and decision-making processes.

The literature could be better contextualised and linked more directly to the objectives of the article and the arguments developed in the cases analysed (pandemic, energy transition, war in Ukraine, and immigration).

As regards the methodological approach, the methodology could be explained more clearly to improve the reader's understanding. In particular, the use of the participant observation approach applied to a conceptual analysis of contemporary society would merit a more precise description, especially to clarify: the methods of analysis of the case studies, the criteria for selecting the cases, and the limitations of the approach adopted.

Overall, the work would benefit from greater methodological clarity and a more explicit discussion of possible biases and limitations.

The discussion and conclusions could be strengthened through greater contextualisation with respect to the existing literature and a clearer summary of the main theoretical contributions of the work.

I would separate the discussion from the conclusion to increase clarity.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.