

Peer Review

Review of: "Evolution of Occupational Health: From Industrial Medicine to the Digital-Era"

Jens Holst¹

1. University of Applied Sciences Fulda, Fulda, Germany

The paper submitted by Trivedi and Trivedi is very interesting and addresses a relevant topic that is otherwise not widely discussed in the global health debate. It provides an excellent overview of the history and evolution of workplace health policies in recent decades, offering a critical assessment of the challenges and shortcomings of the current labour market and employment conditions.

While the descriptive part of the paper is adequate and convincing, the analytical part is very superficial and lacking in content. In contrast, the analytical section is very superficial and lacks substance. There are no concrete suggestions or proposals that go beyond theoretical considerations. Although the authors mention important documents, such as those from the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, they reveal nothing about their content. It would be interesting to elaborate in more detail on the specific approaches pursued or recommended by the EU or the ILO, for example, and how these could be implemented under realistic working conditions to improve employees' situations. The commitments listed under 1-5 in the Chapter "Towards a 21st-Century Occupational Health in the Digital Era" need to be made considerably more specific in order to be meaningful and contribute to the debate.

In summary, while the paper is interesting and relevant, it requires further development before it can be recommended for publication. Please amend the paper accordingly, particularly with regard to recommendations that have the potential to promote and enrich the long-overdue debate about work-related health and safety in the 21st century.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.