

Peer Review

Review of: "Exposure to Benzene, Toluene, and Xylenes from Electronic Cigarette Use Compared to Working Environment Permissible Exposure Limits: A Risk Assessment Analysis of a Recent Publication"

Gerhard Scherer¹

1. Analytisches Laboratorium, Luhnstedt, Germany

The critique of the authors regarding the publication of Svarch-Perez et al. (Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2024, 21, 1308) is more than justified in all its points. My comments, suggestions, and (minor) corrections are provided in the attached annotated pdf file of the manuscript (preprint). I seriously ask myself how a paper with the obvious errors such as that of Svarch-Perez et al. could pass a serious peer review process. I agree with Farsalinos & Sussman that it would be best if the journal (Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health) retracts this publication.

Attachments: available at <https://doi.org/10.32388/HJ98BT>

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.