

Review of: "Creative Learning of Computer Science of Computer Science Professionals: Case University of Matanzas"

Abdessamad Binaoui¹

¹ Université Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear researchers,

I congratulate you for the hard work you have demonstrated in this article. However, there are some remarks I would like to raise:

1. The abstract tenses should be revised. For the results statement, the tense should be the past (From the results, creative learning in computer science was assessed and found to be generally poor. Based on the data, the hypothesis was rejected, and the level of development of creative learning in computing was found to be low.)
2. Please try to include the implications of your study.
3. The methods and procedures section should also be in the past, as you are now reporting in the future.
4. Try to merge the small paragraphs.
5. Try to merge the normality tests' tables.
6. Rephrase the last paragraph of the introduction and elaborate more on the topic. For instance, what do you mean by:
"The objective of this paper is to characterize the creative learning of computer technology during the training of professionals, in order to achieve creative learning in a professional training process and to develop creativity in IT."
7. For the quantitative method, you could have used a test that would account for all the differences at once.
8. The literature review is comprehensive.
9. The use of tables is a bit abused.
10. There are capitalization issues in the text.
11. The conclusion should be elaborated further.
12. Please mention the limitations and recommendations.