

Review of: "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River"

Miyuru Gunathilake¹

1 Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO)

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is very useful in the context of soil erosion in Colombia and beyond. The methodology adopted by the authors could be reproduced in other regions. I have some comments to further improve the quality of the manuscript.

Title

The current title "Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed, an initial step toward the restoration of the Cesar River" does not reflect that the study was done in Colombia. Cesar River is not known for people outside Colombia. So, you can adjust the title as below.

"Assessment of soil erosion in the Cesar watershed in Colombia and way forward to restoration"

Author list

Only one author below the title but author information is given for three authors below the abstract

In B. Data

sediment yield observation (SSY) could be written as Suspended Sediment Yield

You have written decimals up to 7 points!! [latitude: 10.52719444; longitude: -73.33636111])

Results and Discussion

The authors have not discussed about the contributing factors for different soil erosion rates during different time regimes.

General comments

The text should be aligned.

The table caption for Table 1 is "Table I. Summary of Input Data for the Calculation of the Rusles-Ggs Model. Author"

What did you mean by "Author" here?



In this Table there is no space between numeric and units. For instance, it is written as 30m.

Equation 5 is not referred in the text.

Future Directions.

It would be a good idea to also see how the land use change has changed over the time. So you could study on how the land use change and which changes were the dominant for soil erosion.

Qeios ID: HL9EEK · https://doi.org/10.32388/HL9EEK