

## Review of: "Enhancing Academic Speaking Skills: An Immersive Virtual World Approach"

Hartono Hartono<sup>1</sup>

1 Universitas Islam Sultan Agung

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is good, the language has a high readibility. However, the literature review is too lengthy. I think the writer should have made it briefer by focusing on issues which would be relevant for analysis and discussion. More presentation / discussion of previous studies relevant to the topic (the use of metaverse /virtual words on second/foreign language performance) will give more advantages. How participants were recruited for the study should have been presented.

I think it is not very necessary to post /present all the output statistical calculation as Table 4 in the body of the paper. If the writer considers it is very necessary, he may post it in the appendix will be fine. Table 7 also only presents the raw data. I think the readers need to know what they mean rather than how many persons agree or disagree etc.

The conclusions should have been linked more closely to the research questions and hypothesis.

thank you.

Qeios ID: HPZB96 · https://doi.org/10.32388/HPZB96