

# Review of: "Adoption of Technology Acceptance and Interfaces for Academic Information System Applications"

Afsaneh Karimi

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thanks to the group of authors, the following suggestions are provided to improve the quality of the article.

- The title needs to be corrected. The use of the words "Adoption of Technology Acceptance" is not correct, and it is better corrected.
- In the third paragraph of the introduction section, the SIP abbreviation is written in parentheses in front of the phrase "educational information systems," and it doesn't match the desired phrase; it needs to be corrected or have your descriptions added.
- In the research information section, please explain the number of the research population, the sampling method, the number of samples, and the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In most parts of the article, you wrote that the majority of the research community was from the field of nutrition, and no demographic characteristics of the research community were mentioned anywhere in the article, which should be mentioned in the results section.
- In the last paragraph of the introduction part, adoption of acceptance is mentioned again (it was also mentioned in the title), which needs to be corrected.
- In the study method part, there is not enough explanation about the data collection tool, whether this tool is standard or made by a researcher, and if it is made by a researcher, how its validity and reliability are measured, and if it is standard, mention the study that designed the questionnaire and its validity and reliability.
- The conclusion section of the article needs to be edited. In conclusion, the overall result should be stated, and if there are challenges, suggestions should be made about them. In this study, only the positive points are stated, and the weaknesses are not discussed.