

# Review of: "Expectation of Life at Birth by Sex and Area of Residence in India and Bigger States/UT's (2013-2017): A Review"

Sudeshna Ghosh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

My main concerns are as follows:

1. The abstract should underscore the scientific value addition of the paper.
2. The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important. It should define the purpose of the work and its significance. So, the authors should highlight why this study is important.
3. The authors should conclude the viewpoint in different parts of the literature review rather than pile on many words.
4. The source of data is not clear enough.
5. The author has carried out a detailed interpretation of the meaning of each table and picture, which is worthy of recognition. However, readers are more concerned about the hidden/intuitive meaning behind it, so it is recommended that the author rewrite the results analysis.
6. How do you compare and contrast your research findings with other studies?
7. Please make sure your conclusions section underscores the scientific value added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your findings/results, as indicated previously. Basically, you should enhance your contributions, limitations, underscore the scientific value added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your findings/results, and future study in this section.
8. The manuscript would benefit significantly from professional language editing.

*Hope the suggestions will be helpful in improving the manuscript.*

Best wishes