

Review of: "The Failure of Public Water Utility Privatization From Araral's Perspective: Implications for Ethiopia's Water Sector"

Ruchira Ghosh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The concept is very relevant to the present day problems. But this article cannot be accepted at this stage. The article requires substantial **major changes** for it to be reviewed again.

Comments on the articles are below:

1. The abstract is an executive summary of the work presented and not the background of a person. Background details can go in introduction, or literature review section. Also, in abstract, citation is not required as the abstract is the reflection of your work and not referred work.
2. The author has to rephrase the article according to the journal's guidelines.
3. The article needs english language proof reading. There are ambiguity as wheather the paragraph is author's original work or a cited work. For example., "The author states, "During much of the 1990s, water utilities worldwide experienced a wave of privatization. The rationale for this, much like the rationale for the wave of privatization of state-owned enterprises and other government services, is largely based on two hypotheses" (p. 221)." What does the author mean by p.221? Where is the full citation?
4. The conclusion is also very vague, as the authors says, 'the paper presented here has the same conclusion as that of the conclusion presented by other authors'. So, what is the new findings from the presented work? What new addition the author is sharing?

Thus, the entire article here needs rework before another submission. The author is asked for **Major changes**. Read the author's guidelines well, and understand what each section should include, rewrite and submit the article for review.