

# Review of: "Evaluating Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) Principles in Educational Institutions: Occupant Insights"

Jasmine Bachtiar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Mataram

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The topic is so great and the authors attempt to conduct research about CPTED in some educational settings. Authors also try to figure out the issue with gathering questionnaires from 18 institutes and try to make a conclusion. However, there are some feedbacks i would like to improve the authors' paper before submitting to any publication:

1. Who are Rendy Atlas and Ray Jeffery? What are their contributions to this paper? I think the authors should not mention these names on abstract, because this part should highlight the authors' aims and results on this study. Additional information should be given in introduction or other parts.
2. I love how the authors attempt to explain about the importance of CPTED in instutions in introduction part. However, the authors should give some examples or previous researches to support the urgency of this study.
3. The literature review was so short, and did not explain anything about: what is crime? What types of crime always occure in institutions? How important is it to add more study in this field? Does this study contribute to the crime's body of knowledge? I do not have clear understanding with this literature review.
4. Research hypothesis should align with the research aims, questions, results, and conclusion. However, the hypothesis should be a sentence of the authors' first deduction before assessing the institutions. The results are not clear and cannot cover the whole research.
5. Questionnaires: why it should be 2 questionnaires? And the samples (30 respondents) could not represent the 18 instutions. The sample should be considered with the number of population in each institution to get better accuracy. The data in reseach should not be bias with the rspondents' answers.
6. The result: the data should be analysed with statistics to get better understanding. I cannot read each questions and its answers. the authors should present the highlighted data and present it with new diagrams.
7. The bibliography should be written in scientific or standardize writings

Let's improve our writing! You can do it :)

Looking foward to your revides writing