

## Review of: "Perceptions and Attitudes about COVID-19 Vaccines Regarding Vaccine Intention and Hesitancy of Attendants of a Healthcare Center in Northern Cyprus"

Doris Ujunwa Melugbo<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Nigeria

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The authors have made a great attempt at adding to existing and burgeoning knowledge on Covid-19 vaccine intention and hesitancy among clinic attendants in Northern Cyprus. While this effort is commendable, there are areas I think the authors can strengthen the manuscript.

First, the first sentence under the result section of the abstract should be examined to ensure that it is clear and not ambiguous. Second, although, the introduction section is well written, more literature and citations from more sources and countries would have been added to provide a wide range of perspectives on the subject matter. There are also no studies about how the independent variables (Age, gender, marital status, etc) have impacted on perception and attitude of hesitancy and intentions across samples outside of the present study area. Furthermore, there is no justification backed up by citations with regards to the research method or design adopted for the study. Even, the sampling procedure was not properly justified and backed up with credible sources.

Under the sampling procedure, there should be a full disclosure attempt so as to point the readers in the direction that due diligence was followed during selection of study participants. The tool for data collection would have been thoroughly described and examples of questions presented in the tool would have been presented. While pre-test is commendable, it is important to carry out and report a reliability test of the tool that the researchers self-developed.

The result section could be more robust if the authors present the implications of each findings after stating what they have found. The result section could also be more organised in such a way that each interpretation should come under the Table summarising the findings. Equally, note that when present your discussion, there is a need to remind the readers the aim of your study. This should come before the actual discussion of the study. The authors must also be careful of doing a literature review under the Discussion section of the paper. The principles of this section should be followed: 1. present each findings, 2.state how your findings differ or in consonant with previous or extant related literature, 3. state how your findings extend or confirms previous studies and 4. state the key implications of your findings. With the above steps, your Discussion section should be Wholesome and critical.

In your conclusion, it is important to mention the limitations of your study and suggest areas to focus in future projects. Finally, you can write about how your findings could feed into actionable recommendations in Northern Cyprus.

Qeios ID: HZFWKK · https://doi.org/10.32388/HZFWKK



I hope that you find all my comments and suggestions useful. I wish you the best of luck as you proceed.