

## Review of: "Recent Trends and Techniques in Landslide Hazard Assessment"

## Manuel Pastor<sup>1</sup>

1 Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper presents as a short note of landslide hazard assessment.

The paper English is understandable, but it needs some improvement to better convey the interesting ideas presented by the authors.

In the introduction, the phrase "A sudden rise in shear stress or a fall in the shear strength of the constituents of a slop& is incomplete.

Figure 4: I have seen it somewhere else. If not original, please give the reference.

The text is a very concise presentation of general ideas, which perhaps could have been more developed for interested readers. In its present form, the best part is the references section (which occupies almost 3 of the 12 pages).

For instance, no example of GIS implementation is given, providing ideas of how to deal with different scales.

The set of references is OK. I understand that authors choose what is more important for them. I like the work done at NGI by Lacasse, Nadim, and colleagues. I missed a good reference of them with Du regarding El Salvador, where they explain very well how to characterize intensity, vulnerability, susceptibility, and risk.

The way in which references are written is not orthodox, sometimes it is written "...et al," sometimes the names are not well expressed, as in the case of Van Westen, Cees J., Enrique Castellanos, and Sekhar L. Kuriakose, where Castellanos should be Castellanos, Enrique - as the family name is Castellanos.

Qeios ID: HZFXZZ · https://doi.org/10.32388/HZFXZZ