

Review of: "Assessment of Quality of drinking waterbased on the water quality index method in Hawassa Zuria Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Ethiopia"

Morufu Olalekan Raimi¹

¹ Walden University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Qeios, CC-BY 4.0

My Overall Evaluation

RAIMI Morufu Olalekan (Review Comment 27/05/2023)

Accepted with minor revisions

This paper presents a study on ***Assessment of Quality of drinking waterbased on the water quality index method in Hawassa Zuria Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Ethiopia*** It is based on a set of objectives that consolidate the literature. The paper contains original and interesting information, which would attract civic-minded Scientist who are concerned with more than just advancing their careers. They want to make the world a better place, as much of the review assessment are useful to the readers in the world at large. Overall, the authors have taken on a challenging task of summing up such vast information from incredibly broad themes. The results of this paper bring some useful information. The topic of this paper falls within the scope of the [Qeios, CC-BY 4.0]. The contribution of this paper sounds good; the contents are well performed. **I would like to suggest the acceptance of this paper after minor revision** This is an engaging article that purposefully question our knowledge of the subject. Author write clear novelty related to topic. Some detailed comments and suggestions are given as follows.

- The article title is appropriate.
- Abstract is appropriate.
- Keywords is appropriate.
- The purpose or purported significance of the article is explicitly stated.
- Methodology is presented sufficiently.
- There are few grammatical and spelling mistakes. Please double check you text and correct them all.
- Results and Discussion is appropriate. However, the discussion section can be improved upon. Correlation of your results with previous literature is essential. So, correlate your work with at least 7-8 recent publications either in support or in contradiction for justification of results.
- All tables are quoted in the text and in correct order.

- Novelty of the work be established.
- The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.
- There is enough new content in this paper to distinguish it from other works.
- The submission provides enough new material for journal publication.
- The references are comprehensive.

This suggestion would strengthen the study further and when addressed will improve the manuscript.

Best regards,

Raimi Morufu O

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5042-6729>

<https://publons.com/a/1479339/>

<https://ssrn.com/author=2891311>

Qeios, CC-BY 4.0.

