

# Review of: "A Bibliometric Review of CSR in China Based on CNKI Database: 2006-2022"

Rita Yi Man Li<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hong Kong Shue Yan University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

**Originality:** The paper provides an original bibliometric analysis of CSR research literature in China based on data from the China Knowledge Network. While bibliometric studies on CSR have been conducted before, the specific focus on China and the use of data from a comprehensive database like CNKI provides a unique contribution to the literature. In mainland China, some previous research based on Chinese Science Citation Database (CSCD) instead, compare previous research like <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/pm-11-2020-0078/full/html> , why CNKI is used but not CSCD? What may be better in t

**Relationship to Literature:** The paper demonstrates a good understanding of the relevant literature on CSR in China, as well as the methods and tools for bibliometric analysis. The authors cite a range of sources to provide context and background, including academic journals, books, and government documents.

**Methodology:** The use of CiteSpace software for bibliometric analysis is appropriate for the research question and data source. However, the paper does not provide enough detail on the specific parameters and settings used in the analysis, which could impact the reliability of the results.

**Results:** The paper provides a clear summary of the trends and patterns in CSR research literature in China based on the bibliometric analysis. However, the paper could benefit from more detailed analysis and interpretation of the results per previous publications <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.977887/full> especially for all kinds of figures.

**Implications:** The paper identifies some potential implications for academia and enterprises, such as identifying research gaps and predicting future research directions. However, the implications could be more explicitly stated and linked to the findings of the bibliometric analysis.

**Communication:** Based on the limited excerpt provided, it is difficult to evaluate the overall quality of communication and readability of the paper. However, the paper appears to be well-organized and structured, with clear headings and sections.

In terms of weaknesses, the paper could benefit from more detailed analysis and interpretation of the results, as well as more explicit linking of the implications to the findings of the bibliometric analysis. Additionally, the paper could provide more detail on the specific parameters and settings used in the CiteSpace analysis for transparency and reliability purposes. Say, what is citation bursts should be stated "Citation Burst is used to

detect the dynamic concepts and potential research problems that emerge in a field" per  
[https://www.cell.com/heliyon/pdf/S2405-8440\(22\)03594-0.pdf](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/pdf/S2405-8440(22)03594-0.pdf)

There were no grammatical errors in the excerpt provided, but it is possible that there are errors in the full paper that were not present in the excerpt. As a suggestion for improvement, the authors could consider providing more detail on the specific settings and parameters used in the CiteSpace analysis, and linking their findings more explicitly to the implications for academia and enterprises.