

Review of: "Support for Campus Censorship"

Catherine Caldwell-Harris¹

¹ Boston University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I appreciated the methodology and that it was preregistered. The methods were simple, crisp, and competent. The swearing/violence passages were useful as foils/fillers. The three categories of black/white, man/woman, Christianity/Islam made sense and were relevant.

The three-country comparison was fascinating and useful given globalization.

The mini-meta analysis -- very useful. Thanks for doing this.

I appreciated the careful wording throughout this article. The main accomplishment is, as the authors say: "Beyond anecdotes, the present work is the first (to our knowledge) to forward and systematically test a specific domain that is likely to be a target of such efforts."

Maybe I missed it, but did you explain why the Hungary results had the strongest effect sizes?

Study 1, religion: conservatives wanted to protect Christianity from being portrayed as violent. Did you obtain measures of religiousness from participants?

Minor point: The figure was rich and illuminating. Is there a way to make it larger for close scrutinizing and to see the patterns and error bars?

Regarding this sentence: manipulations because younger people tend to lean more liberal than adults.

But they are all adults; remind readers what the age range in study 1.

[I was informed after writing my review that a newer version had been posted]