

Review of: "Profile and scientific nature of pedagogy"

Parmal Singh Solanki¹

¹ College of Applied Sciences, Sohar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The abstract is vague, unable to convey the meaning of objectives and how to address them.
2. Figures used in paper are neither cited in text nor are their references provided (if taken from other source).
3. Methodology is not clear to achieve the goal.
4. Result and conclusion sections are missing in draft.
5. References used in paper are too old like 1931. Even the latest reference is about 19 year old.
6. References are not in standard format like IEEE style and also some reference are not explicitly cited in the draft.
7. Footnotes are not well defined like "ibid, pag.161, which does not provide the relevant information.
8. Some words used in paper are not English like language and hence unable to understand by the reviewer.