

# Review of: "Effect of Ethnic Differences on Breast Cancer Presentation and Prognosis in Singapore"

Nida Javed

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to review this manuscript. Overall, I find the work original and informative. However, I would like to comment on a few things that, in my opinion, need re-consideration:

1. The abstract should be structured, and the description should come under the headings of objective, materials and methods, results, and conclusion.
2. Materials and methods section should be more elaborate with proper mention of especially the inclusion/exclusion criteria, statistical tests applied, ethical approval.
3. The results section is more descriptive with lots of repetition (tabulated results don't need description unless needed). In tables, numbers should be mentioned along with percentages, along with p-values where needed.
4. Standard deviation should be mentioned along with quantitative variables like age.
5. The headings in the discussion section should be part of the results, either in descriptive or tabulated form, and then should be discussed in the light of the literature in the discussion section.
6. In the discussion, (ref) is mentioned at quite some places without actual reference numbers. That needs to be corrected.
7. References are bulleted rather than numbered. References should be numbered for proper citation in the text.
8. I wish you all the very best for the publication of your manuscript.