

# Review of: "Aerodynamic Design and Performance Analysis of Mars Ascent Vehicles"

Mukul Ray

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Paper has stimulating work with good writing in English, but needs improving writing skills with addition of more details. In general, the abstract was written well, and authors provide a good discussion and conclusion. The result section is comprehensive, but the discussion could delve deeper into the implications and context of these findings. Minor language and grammatical mistakes need correction to improve the quality of the paper. Both the abstract and conclusions should have limited words. The former should discuss what has been done and how, while the latter should present only the major specific outcomes of the study without any repetition of information.