

Review of: "The Future of Malaysian SMEs in the Digital Economy"

Zahid Latif¹

1 Gomal University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments -

I am thankful to the author(s) for submitting an interesting manuscript for review. However, the manuscript has some issues that need to be corrected before final submission.

ABSTRACT:

a. What are the implication and motivations of this study?

INTRODUCTION:

- a. The introduction is not adequate, redundant and irrelevant information should be excluded and the authors should emphasize the significance of this study.
- b. Motivation of the study is weak; also, the authors should highlight the significance of the study in the introduction.

METHODOLOGY:

- a. The specific reason for choosing this model rather than other models should be clearly mentioned.
- b. Data description is poorly written it should be more precise.
- c. The paper lacks a clear justification of the variables used in the empirical section and should include updated literature.

RESULTS:

a. Results are poorly discussed, and the implications of the finding. In the discussion section, the author provides implications toward the research results mainly according to his own idea, maybe with some support from published articles.

CONCLUSION:

a. Conclusion should have corresponded to former research contents, and you'd better express the conclusions more organized. Moreover, one paragraph only expresses one conclusion. In other words, the author(s).



- b. Policy recommendations given reflects the author own perception that should be based on the key findings of the study, as mentioned in the above paragraph of comments.
- c. What lesson we learn from the results of this study? How the finding of this study is different from other studies, needs details arguments.
- d. Finally, please do a final English language copy-edit to address the remaining grammatical problems.
- e. Finally, yet importantly, limitations with future recommendations should be added at the end of the conclusion section.

OVERALL EVALUATION

Lastly, although a potential contribution to the research knowledge, I would suggest to check the word limit with a scope of the journal.

Qeios ID: IDFNIB · https://doi.org/10.32388/IDFNIB