

Review of: "The Unrevealed Causes of Prosperity"

Diego Martínez-Navarro¹

¹ Universidad de Almería

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript, while addressing an intriguing theme, falls short in several crucial aspects. Firstly, the author should engage the assistance of a proofreader to rectify typographical and grammatical errors, enhancing the overall readability. The abstract requires expansion to encompass objectives, methods, findings, and novelty adequately.

The introduction lacks a strong connection to the latest research, necessitating a meticulous review of published literature to identify gaps and propose a novel approach. To enhance reader comprehension, the inclusion of a flowchart or table visualization is recommended.

The research approach needs more detailed discussion, whether employing quantitative or qualitative techniques. Incorporating comparison charts could strengthen the persuasiveness of the findings. Deepening the analysis and interpretation of results is crucial, addressing a current deficiency.

The conclusion section should be reorganized for better coherence. Additionally, minimizing self-references and incorporating more recent scientific articles would enhance the scholarly quality.

Addressing the second set of feedback, the manuscript must avoid stereotyping the comparison between capitalist and collectivist systems. The Theory of Algorithmic Thinking suggests a need for governance mechanisms in every system to reduce complexity and conflicts. However, the conclusion requires further substantiation.

The third set of feedback highlights the manuscript's interesting perspectives on the interplay between market and government. Despite its engaging content, the manuscript lacks scientific rigor, lacking a clear research question, conducted research, or an explicit theory. As a result, it falls short of meeting expected standards.

Lastly, the fourth set of feedback appreciates the author's approach but underscores the need for a more rigorous analysis rooted in established philosophical, social, and political theories. While the main idea is intriguing, it should be grounded in a more comprehensive exploration of existing literature, incorporating references from influential thinkers.

In summary, the manuscript shows promise but requires substantial improvements in organization, depth of analysis, and adherence to scholarly standards.