

Review of: "How to burp an infant – a prospective comparative pilot study on four different methods"

Sharareh Khosravi¹

¹ Arak University of Medical Sciences

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Introduction

- Introduction is too short with irrelevant and non-organized materials.

Methods

- Methods section is unclear.
- This is not a prospective systematically allocated comparative study.
- Inclusion and exclusion criteria is not obvious.
- Why the researcher chose twins?
- How did the researcher put the infants in four groups?
- How is burping methods done for the infants.
- The study has moral considerations. How can the researcher force the samples to have the same nutritional regimen?
- Who did the techniques?
- What is moderate or large in classifying vomiting?
- How do the researcher distinguish between vomiting and regurgitation?

Results

- Why may the subjects have any of gastroenteritis or fever?
- Figure 2 is not consistent with what is written in the results.

Discussion

- Discussion is not correct. The results must be compared with other studies.
- There are no references in the discussion.
References are not enough.

Totally the article must be revised.