

# Review on Models of Measuring Volatility of Cryptocurrencies

Dr.GUDIMETLA Satya Sekhar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GITAM Deemed to be University

**Funding:** No specific funding was received for this work.

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Abstract

Modeling and forecasting the prices of cryptocurrencies and measuring the volatility with the GARCH specification (Engle, 1982) has become standard among researchers. Several applications and extensions of GARCH model is proposed by Bollerslev (1986). Later, an integrated GARCH model (Engle & Bollerslev, 1986) states that the persistence parameter is equal to one. A combination of short and long memory conditional models for the mean and the volatility to analyze crypto returns is done with the help of ARFIMA (Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average) and FIGARCH (Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroskedastic) Model.

This paper intended to understand various mathematical models for volatility of crypto currencies and also to contribute to existing literature by making an analytical study of application of these models.

**Keywords:** Crypto-asset, Crypto-exchange, Digital transactions, GARCH Models, Price volatility.

## Objectives:

1. To understand various models of measuring the volatility of cryptocurrencies.
2. To make aware of application of volatility models in empirical studies.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of an open-source currency (i.e. digital asset/ crypto currency) without a central point of trust, such as a significant distribution agency or state lead control, is new (King & Nadal, 2012). Investors who acquire digital assets in the form of "cryptocurrencies" should consider about volatile market conditions. Sometimes there is a possibility of a loss of the entire investment due to volatility of prices in cryptocurrency. The results reveal that Bitcoin has shown a successful path since its inception, despite volatile market conditions (Caporale et al., 2018). It should be noted that the

cryptocurrencies cannot replace the fiat currency, and they could change how inter-connected global markets interact, clearing away barriers surrounding normative currencies and foreign exchange rates (Peter D. DeVries, 2016).

Several mathematical models are used to measure the volatility behavior of cryptocurrencies like: i) Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Model, ii) Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) Model, iii) Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) Model, and iv) Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedastic (GARCH) Models.

## 2. Review of literature

This literature review is based on a systematic review with a focus on keywords viz., Cryptocurrency, Bitcoin and Volatility of Cryptocurrency.

Every investor needs to have an answer to the questions, viz., is it Bitcoin, that particular private currency that will have the most extended life? Moreover, how long will it run in parallel with the traditional currency? Will Bitcoin have the ability to benefit from a higher degree of confidence than the present one starting from the backdrop of the growing discontent generated by numerous imbalances occurring in the economies of different states? (Angela Rogojanu and Liana Badea, 2014).

The nature and the ability of the five largest cryptocurrencies, viz., Bitcoin, Ethereum, Ripples, NEM, and Dash, are examined by Phillip et al. (2018). Other than bitcoins, there are about 1,000 alternative coins (altcoins) in the global market, with Ethereum being the most popular. Altcoins are cryptocurrencies launched after bitcoin's success (Rajesh Kurup, 2017).

Modeling and forecasting the prices of cryptocurrencies and measuring the volatility with the GARCH specification (Engle, 1982) has become standard among researchers. James and Raul (1994) state that the ARCH models often impute a lot of persistence to stock volatility and yet give relatively poor forecasts. Adrian and Schwert (1990) show the importance of nonlinearities in stock return behavior that are not captured by conventional ARCH or GARCH models.

Several applications and extensions of GARCH model is proposed by Bollerslev (1986). Later, an integrated GARCH model (Engle & Bollerslev, 1986) states that the persistence parameter is equal to one. The analysis of co-integration and error correction with the help of single equation is derived by Engle-Granger test (1987).

The research results show (see Annexure) that robust procedures outperform non-robust ones when forecasting the volatility and estimating the Value-at-Risk. These results suggest that the presence of outliers plays an important role in the modelling and forecasting of Bitcoin risk measures (Carlos Trucíos, 2019).

The Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average (ARFIMA) model is based on the assumptions of linearity, stationarity and homoscedasticity of error variance. Under these assumptions it is quite impossible to deal with series exhibiting high volatility or periods of instability such as agricultural commodity price series. A combination of short and long memory conditional models for the mean and the volatility to analyze crypto returns is done with the help of **ARFIMA**

(Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average) and **FIGARCH** (Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroskedastic) Model. It is to be noted that understanding the dual Long Memory (LM) in cryptocurrency markets is essential for crypto investors and forecasters. Zhuhua Jiang et al (2023) contribute to the related empirical studies by examining the presence of dual LM (in the mean and the variance) in six major digital currencies (Bitcoin, Dash, Ethereum, Litecoin, Monero, and Ripple).

Lykke et al (2022) find that EGARCH and APARCH perform best among the GARCH models. HAR models based on realized variance perform better than GARCH models based on daily data. Superiority of HAR models over GARCH models is strongest for short-term volatility forecasts.

### 3. Models for Measuring Volatility of cryptocurrency

Campbell, Lo, and MacKinlay (1997, p.481) argued that “it is both logically inconsistent and statistically inefficient to use volatility measures that are based on the assumption of constant volatility over some period when the resulting series moves through time”.

#### 3.1. Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) Model

ARCH is a method that explicitly models the change in variance over time in a time series (Engle, 1982). There are two types of model viz., i) *conditional* means and variance and ii) *unconditional* mean and variance; of the error terms in time-series data. An ARCH method models the conflict at a time step as a function of the residual errors from a mean process (e.g., a zero mean). The ARCH model has a simple regression model, as can be seen below:

##### AR(1) Model:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_t + u_t$$

$$u_t \sim N(0, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2)$$

This suggests the error term is normally distributed with zero mean and conditional variance depending on the squared error term for lagged one time period.

The conditional variance process is given an autoregressive structure and the log returns are modelled as a white noise multiplied by the volatility:

$$X_t = e_t \sigma_t$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha_1 X_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_p X_{t-p}^2,$$

where  $e_t$  (the ‘innovations’) are independent and identically distributed with expectation 0 and variance 1 and are assumed independent from  $\sigma_k$  for all  $k \leq t$ . The lag length  $p \geq 0$  is part of the model specification and may be determined using the Box-Pierce or similar tests for autocorrelation significance, where the case  $p = 0$  corresponds to a white noise process.

### 3.2. The standard GARCH model- sGARCH(1,1) (Bollerslev, 1986)

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

This Equation estimates the variance at time  $t$ , which depends on a historical mean ( $\omega$ ), news about volatility from the previous period, measured as a lag of the squared residuals from the mean Equation ( $\varepsilon_{t-1}^2$ ), and volatility from the last period ( $\sigma_{t-1}^2$ ). The main feature of models is that they capture volatility clustering in the data.

### 3.3. Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional heteroskedasticity (IGRACH 1,1)

This is a restricted version of the GARCH model. The persistent parameters sum up to one and import a unit root in the GARCH process (Engle & Bollerslev, 1986).

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet x_t = \mu + a_t \\ & \bullet a_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t \\ & \bullet \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 a_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Here, it is imposed that:  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = 1$ .

### 3.4. Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional heteroskedasticity (IGRACH 1,1)

Cointegration describes the long-run equilibrium relationship between the variables. An error correction mechanism forces the short-run deviation from equilibrium in one period to move towards equilibrium in the next period.

### 3.5. Non-linear Arch (NARCH)

The NARCH model encompasses various functional forms, we argue it provides a useful framework for testing Engle's original specification against a wide class of alternatives. It would be interesting to investigate what happens to tests of expectation theory or CAPM models if NARCH-type models capture the nonlinearity in the data (Higgins and Bera, 1992).

### 3.6. Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkle (GJR) GARCH Model

$$h_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p (\alpha_i Z_{t-i}^2 (1 - 1(Z_{t-i} > 0)) + \gamma_i Z_{t-i}^2 1(Z_{t-i} > 0)) + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j h_{t-j}^2$$

With parameters  $\alpha_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$ ,  $\beta_j \geq 0$ , and  $\gamma_i \geq 0$  that guarantee a non-negative conditional variance. In order to highlight the asymmetry properties, a function  $f(Z_t)$  is introduced where the magnitude effects ( $\gamma_i$ ) and the asymmetry effects ( $\alpha_i$ ).

### 3.7. Asymmetric Power Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedastic (APARCH)

Ding, Granger, and Engle (1993) find that  $|x_t|^d$  often displays strong and persistent autocorrelation for various values of  $d$ , or rather returns have a long memory property. The asymmetric Power ARCH (APARCH) model assumes a specific

parametric form for powers of this conditional heteroskedasticity.

### 3.8. The Asymmetric GARCH -AGARCH(1,1)

The AGARCH(1,1) (asymmetric GARCH) model developed by Engle and Ng (1993) is another approach to allowing the GARCH model to react asymmetrically. The news impact curve is a standard measure of how news is incorporated into volatility estimates. The model allows several types of asymmetry in the news impact on volatility.

It is defined by

$$X_t = e_t \sigma_t, \sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha(X_{t-1} + \gamma)^2 + \beta\sigma_{t-1}^2$$

where  $\gamma$  is the non-centrality parameter

### 3.9. The Component Standard GARCH model - CSGARCH (1, 1)

This model decomposes the conditional variance into permanent and transitory components to investigate volatility's long- and short-run movements (Lee and Engle (1999)). The model is deployed as follows:

$$\sigma_t^2 = q_t + \alpha_1(a_{t-1}^2 - q_{t-1}) + \beta_1(\sigma_{t-1}^2 - q_{t-1}),$$

$$q_t = \alpha_0 + \rho q_{t-1} + \phi(a_{t-1}^2 - \sigma_{t-1}^2)$$

for  $0 < \alpha_0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta_1$ ,  $0 < \delta$ ,  $0 \leq \phi$ . If  $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 1$  and  $\rho < 1$  weak stationarity holds.  $q$  represents the permanent component of the conditional variance. It can be seen as a time-varying intercept for the conditional heteroscedasticity

### 3.10. An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL)

This model states that the demand for absolute stationary variables is inexistent. This is an ordinary least square (OLS) based model applicable for non-stationary time series and times series with mixed order of integration (Pesaran et al., 2001)

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + \alpha_0 X_t + \alpha_1 X_{t-1} + \alpha_2 X_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_q X_{t-q} + \varepsilon_t$$

Here,  $m$  and  $n$  are the number of years for lag,  $\varepsilon_t$  is the disturbance terms,  $\beta_i$ 's are the short-run, and  $\alpha_i$ 's are coefficients for the long-run relationship. Hence, it can be used to research the cointegration among a series of variables of order  $I(0)$  or  $I(1)$  or mixed  $I(0)$  with  $I(1)$ . The general ARDL( $p, q$ ) model equation is expressed as follows:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + \gamma_1 X_{t-1} + \gamma_q X_{t-q} + \varepsilon_t$$

The lags order  $p$  and  $q$  are determined by the AIC criterion and may differ depending on the independent variables or periods.

### 3.11. Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedastic (FIGARCH) model

Bordignon et al. (2004) state that the parameter  $S$  represents the cycle length, while  $d$  indicates the degree of memory.

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha(L)\varepsilon_t^2 + \beta(L)h_t + [1 - (1 - L^S)^d]\varepsilon_t^2$$

The first three terms in the conditional variance reproduce the general GARCH model, and the fourth term introduces an extended memory component that operates at zero and seasonal frequencies.

### 3.12. Heterogeneous Autoregressive (HAR) MODEL (Corsi ,2009)

The basic specification in Corsi (2009) estimates by ordinary least squares under the assumption that at time  $t$ , the conditional mean of  $\text{var } \varepsilon_{t+1}$  is equal to zero. Where the conditional volatility is made dependent on past volatilities aggregated at different frequencies (HMEM; D(Daily), 5 is for W(Weekly), 22 is for M(Monthly)):

- $x_t = \mu_t \varepsilon_t$ ,  $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{Gamma}(a, 1/a)$  for each  $t$
- $\mu_t = \omega + \alpha D x_{t-1} + \alpha W \bar{x}^{(5)}_{t-1} + \alpha M \bar{x}^{(22)}_{t-1}$ ,

with the possible introduction of regimes (MS (n)- HMEM)

- $x_t = \mu_t s_t \varepsilon_t$ ,
- $\varepsilon_t | s_t \sim \text{Gamma}(a s_t, 1/a s_t)$  for each  $t$

$$\mu_t s_t = \omega + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i I_{s_t} + \alpha D, st x_{t-1} + \alpha W, st \bar{x}^{(5)}_{t-1} + \alpha M, st \bar{x}^{(22)}_{t-1}.$$

### 3.13. Hyperbolic GARCH- (HYGARCH)

HYGARCH model is basically used to model long-range dependence in volatility. This model provides a flexible structure to capture different levels of volatilities and also short and long memory effects (Mohammadi and Rezakhah, 2017). The equation is as given below:

$$r_t = h_t^{1/2} z_t$$

$$h_t = u(h_{t-1}, \dots, h_{t-p}, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_{t-q})$$

here  $r_t$  is the return,  $x_t$  a realized measure of volatility,  $(z_t)_t$  are identically independently distributed (i.i.d) with mean zero and variance one,  $(u_t)_t$  are also i.i.d with mean zero and variance  $\sigma_u^2$ . Here  $(z_t)_t$  and  $(u_t)_t$  are mutually independent.

market using trend-following and mean-reverting techniques.

### 3.14. Conditional Mean Equation (CME)

The CME is studied by Alina and Dieyo (2019) and Corbet et al. (2018) are explained here: Conditional Mean Equation (CME):  $r_t = \mu + \epsilon_t$

- $r_t$  is the vector of the price returns,
- $\mu$  is a vector of parameters that estimates the mean of the return series, and
- $\epsilon_t$  is the vector of residuals with a conditional covariance matrix
- $H_t$  given the available information set  $I_{t-1}$ .

The daily price returns:

$$R_{i,t} = \ln(P_{i,t}) - \ln(P_{i,t-1})$$

- $\ln(P_{i,t})$ , is the natural logarithm of the closing price of cryptocurrency  $i$  on day  $t$  and
- $\ln(P_{i,t-1})$  is the natural logarithm of the closing price of cryptocurrency  $i$  on day  $t-1$

#### Another Equation:

- $r_{i,t} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{i,t}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $r_{i,t} | \Omega_{t-1} \sim (0, H_t)$
- $r_{i,t} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{i,t-1} + \epsilon_{i,t}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $r_{i,t} | \Omega_{t-1} \sim (0, H_t)$

Where:

- $r_{i,t}$  is the vector of the logarithmic price return of cryptocurrency,
- at time  $t$ ,
- $\mu_i$  is a vector of parameters that estimates the mean of the price return of cryptocurrency
- $\epsilon_{i,t}$  is the vector of error terms for  $i$  at time  $t$ , with a positive definite conditional covariance matrix
- given the available information set  $\Omega_{t-1}$ . The sub-index 1 refers to Bitcoin, while sub-index 2 refers to Ethereum.

## 4. Findings

The following interesting insights are found from measures of volatility of crypto currencies:

- There is an interrelation between the non-normality and heteroskedasticity of the returns on cryptocurrencies. Investment managers should select asymmetric GARCH-type models with a long memory to forecast the VaR of cryptocurrencies.
- The cross-correlation matrix of cryptocurrency price changes will reflect the 'non-trivial hierarchical structures' and 'groupings of cryptocurrency pairs.'
- ARDL models play a vital role in analyzing an economic scenario. In an economy, changing any economic variable may bring change in another economic variable beyond time. This change in a variable is not reflected immediately but distributed over future periods.
- The "Efficient Market Hypothesis" is not valid and that speculation is feasible via trading. Nevertheless, significant steps

toward cryptocurrency efficiency have been traced in recent years. It can lead to less profitable trading strategies for speculators.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper analyzed that the price of cryptocurrency is always volatile and is influenced by various factors like market returns, prices of stocks, gold, and correlation of prices of cryptocurrency.

Modeling and forecasting the prices of cryptocurrencies and measuring the volatility with the GARCH specification has become standard among researchers. Several applications and extensions of GARCH model are also proposed by researchers. An integrated GARCH model states that the persistence parameter is equal to one. A combination of short and long memory conditional models for the mean and the volatility to analyze crypto returns is done with the help of ARFIMA and FIGARCH (Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroskedastic) Model. The research results show that robust procedures outperform non-robust ones when forecasting the volatility and estimating the Value-at-Risk. These results suggest that the presence of outliers plays an important role in the modelling and forecasting of volatility of crypto currency.

Some results show that it is possible to predict cryptocurrency markets using machine learning/artificial intelligence and sentiment analysis. The transaction volume, the stock, the EUR/USD exchange rate, and the macroeconomic and financial development do not determine the crypto-currency price in the short and long term.

## Annexure

### Measuring Models and Its Application in Empirical Studies



S.NO.	AUTHOR	MODEL NAME	USED IN EMPIRICAL STUDY WITH FULL REFERENCE
1	Engle (1982)	Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH) Model	Candila, Vincenzo. (2021). Multivariate Analysis of Cryptocurrencies. <i>Econometrics</i> 9: 28.
2	Bollerslev (1986)	The standard GARCH model) sGARCH (1,1)	Lykke Øverland Bergsli, Andrea Falk Lind, Peter Molnár, Michał Polasik (2022). Forecasting volatility of Bitcoin. <i>Research in International Business and Finance</i> 59 (2022) 101540
3	Engle & Bollerslev (1986)	Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional heteroskedasticity (IGRACH 1,1)	Carlos Trucíos (2019). Forecasting Bitcoin risk measures: A robust approach. <i>International Journal of Forecasting</i> 35 (2019) 836–847
4.	Engle and Granger (1987)	Cointegration and Error Correction	Girish, G. and Vijayalakshmi, S. (2016) Is Stock Prices and Economic Activity in India Co-Integrated?. <i>Theoretical Economics Letters</i> , 6, 269-275. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2016.62030">10.4236/tel.2016.62030</a> .
5		Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average- Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditionally Heteroskedastic (ARFIMA-FIGARCH)	Slaveya Zhelyazkova, (2018). "ARFIMA-FIGARCH, HYGARCH and FIAPARCH Models of Exchange Rates," <i>Izvestia Journal of the Union of Scientists,- Varna. Economic Sciences Series</i> , vol. 7(2), 142-153.
6	Bordignon et al. (2004)	Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedastic (FIGARCH) model	Maryam Tayefi and T. V. Ramanathan (2012). An Overview of FIGARCH and Related Time Series Models. <i>AUSTRIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS</i>  Volume 41 (2012), Number 3, 175–196

## References

- Angela Rogojanu and Liana Badea ((2014). The Issue of Competing Currencies-Case study-Bitcoin. *Theoretical Applied Economics*, XXI. (1), 103-114.
- Adrian R. Pagan, G.William Schwert (1990). Alternative models for conditional stock volatility, *Journal of Econometrics*, 45(1–2), 267-290. ISSN 0304-4076, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(90\)90101-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(90)90101-X).
- Baba, Y., Engle, R. F., Kraft, D. F., & Kroner, K. F. (1990). Multivariate simultaneous generalized ARCH. *Manuscript, University of California, San Diego, Department of Economics*.
- Bollerslev T(1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 31,307–327.
- Bordignon, S., Caporin, M., and Lisi, F. (2004). A seasonal fractionally integrated GARCH model. Working paper. University of Padova, Italy.
- Blemus, S. (2018). Law and Blockchain: A Legal Perspective on Current Regulatory Trends Worldwide. *Revue Trimestrielle de Droit Financier (Corporate Finance and Capital Markets Law Review)* RTDF N°4-2017 - December 2017, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3080639> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3080639>
- Caporale, G.M., Gil-Alana, L., Plastun, A.(2018). Persistence in the cryptocurrency market. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 46, 141–148.
- Carlos Trucíos(2019). Forecasting Bitcoin risk measures: A robust approach. *International Journal of Forecasting* 35 (2019) 836–847.
- Campbell, J. Y., Lo, A. W., and MacKinlay, A. C. (1997), *The Econometrics of Financial Markets*, Princeton, New

Jersey: Princeton University Press.

- Chaim, P., Laurini, M.P. (2018). Volatility and return jump in bitcoin. *Economics Letters* 173, 158–163.
- Corsi, F. (2009). A simple approximate long-memory model of realized volatility. *Journal of Financial Econometrics*, 7, 174–196.
- Ding, Z., Granger C.W.J. and Engle, R.F., (1993). A long memory property of stock market returns and a new model, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 1 (1).
- Engle, R.F. and Bollerslev, T. (1986). Modelling the Persistence of Conditional Variance. *Econometric Reviews*, 5, 1-50. Source: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07474938608800095>.
- Engle, R.F. and Ng, VK (1993) Measuring and Testing the Impact of News on Volatility. *The Journal of Finance*, 48, 1749-1778. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1993.tb05127>.
- Engle, R., & Kroner, K. (1995). Multivariate Simultaneous Generalized ARCH. *Econometric Theory*, 11(1), 122-150. doi:10.1017/S0266466600009063
- Engle, R. (2002). Dynamic conditional correlation: A simple class of multivariate generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity models. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 20(3), 339–350.
- Fabrizio Cipollini, Giampiero M. Gallo, Edoardo Otranto(2021). Realized volatility forecasting: Robustness to measurement errors. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 37 (1), 44-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2020.02.009>
- Girish, G. and Vijayalakshmi, S. (2016) Is Stock Prices and Economic Activity in India Co-Integrated?. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 6, 269-275. doi: [10.4236/tel.2016.62030](https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2016.62030).
- James D Hamilton, Raul Susmel (1994). Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity and changes in regime. *Journal of Econometrics*, 64( 1–2), 307-333, ISSN 0304-4076, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076\(94\)90067-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4076(94)90067-1).
- Hacker, P., & Thomale Chris. (2018). Crypto-Securities Regulation: ICOs, Token Sales and Cryptocurrencies under EU Financial Law. *European Company and Financial Law Review* 645-696, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3075820> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3075820>.
- Higgins, M.L. and Bera, A.K. (1992) A Class of Nonlinear Arch Models. *International Economic Review*, 33, 137–158. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2526988>.
- Kotz S., Kozubowski T., Podgorski K. (2012). *The Laplace Distribution and Generalizations: A Revisit with Applications to Communications, Economics, Engineering, and Finance*. SpringerLink, Birkhäuser Boston, Bücher.
- King, S., and S. Nadal. (2012). “PPCoin: Peer-to-Peer Crypto-Currency with Proof-of-Stake.” Source: <https://decred.org/research/king2012.pdf>.
- Lykke Øverland Bergsli , Andrea Falk Lind , Peter Molnár, Michał Polasik(2022). Forecasting volatility of Bitcoin. *Research in International Business and Finance* 59 101540.
- Nara Kim and Jang Mook Kang. (2018). A Case study of Public Blockchain and Cryptocurrency Technology Focus on Authentication System. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences* 13(3), 689-690. ISSN: 1816-949x.
- Malady L. (2016). Consumer Protection Issues for Digital Financial Services in Emerging Markets. *Banking & Finance Law Review*, 31 (2), 389 – 401.
- Maryam Tayefi and T. V. Ramanathan(2012). An Overview of FIGARCH and Related Time Series Models. *AUSTRIAN JOURNAL OF STATISTICS*, Volume 41 (2012), Number 3, 175–196

- Michael Sorkin & Wei Xiong. (2020). "A Model of Cryptocurrencies," NBER Working Papers 26816, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- Mohammadi, F., and S. Rezakhah. (2017). Smooth transition HYGARCH model: Stability and testing. <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1701.05358.pdf>.
- Peter D. DeVries. (2016). An Analysis of Cryptocurrency, Bitcoin, and the Future. *International Journal of Business Management and Commerce*, 1( 2).
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y. & Smith, R. J. (2001). 'Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3), 289– 326.
- Petro, Hrytsiuk & Babych, Tetyana. (2022). The cryptocurrencies risk measure based on the Laplace distribution.
- Phillip, A., Chan, J. and Peiris, S. (2018). A new look at Cryptocurrencies. *Economic Letters*. 163, 6-9.
- Raskin, M., & D. Yermack. (2016). "Digital Currencies, Decentralized Ledgers, and the Future of Central Banking." National Bureau of Economic Research. NBER Working Paper No. 22238.
- Ravichandran K, Bose S, Akgiray V, et al. (1989) Threshold generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity models. *Research Journal of Business Management*, 6, 55–80.
- Robert F. Engle & Tim Bollerslev. (1986) Modelling the persistence of conditional variances, *Econometric Reviews*, 5(1), 1–50, DOI: 10.1080/07474938608800095.
- Slaveya Zhelyazkova, (2018). "ARFIMA-FIGARCH, HYGARCH and FIAPARCH Models of Exchange Rates," *Izvestia Journal of the Union of Scientists*, - Varna. *Economic Sciences Series*, vol. 7(2), 142-153.
- Yates, T. (2017). The consequences of allowing a cryptocurrency takeover or trying to head one off. Source; <https://ftalphaville.ft.com/2017/06/07/2189849/guestpost-the-consequences-of-allowing-a-cryptocurrency-takeover-or-trying-to-head-oneoff/>
- Zhuhua Jiang, Mensi, W. Yoon, S.-M. Risks in Major Cryptocurrency Markets: Modeling the Dual Long Memory Property and Structural Breaks. *Sustainability* **2023**, 15, 2193. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032193>