

Review of: "The Assessment of Anxiety Levels in Undergraduate Medical Students and The Determination of Various Coping Mechanisms Used"

Batholomew Chibuike James

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This should be an exciting research work. However, much work needs to be done.

Abstract:

Your abstract was not presented accordingly; you need to restructure your abstract. You are starting with a general
introduction to the topic study. (Globally and locally). Including a few numbers of review of lit that includes previous
similar studies in a global and regional context.

Your abstract should include a brief of methodology (tools, population) fellow by results and conclusion.

Introduction:

Your introduction needs to be improved; there are no previous studies in it, you need to do the same here as the abstract, but you need to include more previous studies here. The last paragraph in this introduction section, should be the study's main objective.

Methods:

Your methodology is very scattered; you need to rearrange it.

You might want to start with the following:

- 1. Start with the study design and setting (you can use a heading or paragraph). Include location and population.
- 2. **Study participant:** mention the participant and their age blanket and gender; you might include the recruitment criteria in this section.
- 3. Mention sample size estimation, show the calculation, or insert the formula used to get the sample size and cite it.
- 4. **Study instrument**: mention how you came about the tools used, is it was adopted or created if created, how did you validate them, and if adopted, from where? And state the measurement scale, if modified the tools, who modified them, and the reason for the modification. Divide the tools according to the section and content you evaluated in each section.
- 5. Study Procedure or process: how did you collect the data, from where and when, and how long did you take to gather the data? Please mention only the number of data collected and analyzed. Mention if this is face to face or online survey. Mention how long it will take one person to answer the questionnaire. Mention how you were able to protect and prevent duplication of answers and how you were able to protect the data collected for privacy's sake.



- 6. Ethical consideration: state who gave the ethical clearance to conduct this study and write the reference number.
- 7. Data analysis: state how the data were analyzed and why you chose a particular method of analysis.
- 8. Result: Go straight and discuss your findings in this section, mainly using numbers and percentages.
- 9. Discussion: you do not have solid reviews or related studies to back up your findings; you should not assume anything in research; you have to cite and support any statement you make in the discussion section. E.g. (For Pakistani females are being encouraged to further their studies) this should come with supportive evidence. In discussion, you support with evidence and contradict with evidence as well.
- 10. Your conclusion is reasonable.

lastly, this study started since 2019, therefore, you need to update the review of related lit

You should do a major revision.

Qeios ID: IHN343 · https://doi.org/10.32388/IHN343