

Review of: "Exploring the Boundaries of Behavioral Robotics: Understanding the Limitations of Psychokinesis"

Wang Yuan¹

¹ Guangdong University of Finance

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This paper delves into the enigmatic realm of psychokinesis, exploring the purported ability of the human mind to directly influence physical objects and processes. Through coin tossing and dice throwing experiments, The paper illustrate the potential for statistical validation of psychokinetic influence. The article is very novel and interesting, however, I still have some questions and concerns about the article.

The abstract of the article states that "This paper delves into the enigmatic realm of psychokinesis, exploring the purported ability of the human mind to directly influence physical objects and processes."In addition, the results are given.

"psychokinetic abilities beyond conventional human capacities."It is suggested that the content of experimental verification should be clearly explained in the abstract. No clear information can be obtained from the abstract without reading the full text. The content and topic discussed in the current abstract are too macro, and the research methods and conclusions of the paper should be briefly and clearly summarized.

1. The author's research institution is listed as iUniversity Tokyo. What is iUniversity? Are there any typos?
- 2.The references of the paper are old and few in number. It is necessary to read more literature for research work.
3. Since the author discusses the influence of human consciousness on matter, it may be a good choice to add the topic of quantum mechanics to the discussion.
4. What is the relationship between psychokinesis and the limit of artificial intelligence? The author may need to further explain in the introduction.
5. No matter what the author puts forward, as long as the logic is rigorous and the method is dialectical, it deserves to be taken seriously. But as a scientific paper, especially the experimental part, it should be written in a more formal way. For example, the formula should be ordered. In addition, pie charts and bar charts should be added to the analysis of experimental results in order to express them more vividly.
6. In the first paragraph of Chapter Method it says: "The question automatically arises whether the reality of psychokinesis can be scientifically verified. The answer is that statistical verification can be obtained, and definitely exists" Please give references.

7. How to ensure that there are no other factors interfering in the process of coin tossing, but only under the influence of psychokinetic?
8. It is suggested that the author add photos of experimental scenes and experimental platforms in the article.
9. The author made a long summary of the experimental results, but it was still difficult to find a clear description of the effect of psychokinesis on the results. That is, the conclusion of the article is still vague.