Review of: "Risk Factors and Predictors of Severe Acute Malnutrition Among 6-59 Months Children in Lumbini Province, Nepal A Facility-Based Cross-Sectional Study"

Hadi Lotfnezhad Afshar

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Suggestions for improving your article.

Abstract:

- Overall, the abstract does represent the article properly, but it can benefit from a bit more detail to enhance clarity and context. For example, some sentences in the abstract are quite long and complex. Breaking them down into more digestible portions could enhance readability.

Introduction:

- Consider adding a brief sentence about the objective of the study to give readers a preview of what to expect in the subsequent sections.

Methodology:

- Clarify if the study was conducted in rural, urban, or both settings within Lumbini Province, as this information is crucial for contextual understanding.
- Provide more context about the modifications made to the questionnaire from the previous study to highlight the study's unique aspects.

Results:

- Include more context or explanations for certain findings. For example, elaborate on why certain variables, like exclusive breastfeeding, were not statistically significant.

Discussion:

- Consider discussing the implications of the study's findings on existing policies or interventions in Nepal. How could this research inform or influence governmental strategies to combat malnutrition?
- Address potential limitations in more detail, such as the representativeness of the sample and the cross-sectional nature of the study, which might impact the generalizability of the findings.

Conclusion:

- Add a sentence or two about the potential future implications of the study's findings. How could this research influence future policies, interventions, or research endeavors in Nepal or similar regions facing malnutrition challenges?

I would recommend that the article undergo **major revisions** before it is considered for acceptance.