

Review of: "Bibliometric analysis and current status of Leishmaniasis research indexed in Scopus, 2010 -2023"

Carmen Guzman-Bracho

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Comments.

This is a bibliometric study of Leishmaniasis publications worldwide over a 13-year period.

The abstract gives sufficient information about the content of the article. The graphical summary provides clarity to readers about the strategy followed during the development of this work.

The introduction summarizes relevant information on the topic at a global level.

The methodology used is clearly described, both for the search of the documents and for the analysis of the information.

The graphs and tables used to show the data obtained are easy to interpret. There is a lot of information, but reading and reviewing the tools used makes it easy to follow the large amount of data they contain.

Finally, the discussion closes the article with an articulated text that synthesizes the information obtained and provides evidence of current research trends. On the other hand, it is clear that the countries most affected by these diseases are less active participants in the study of situational diagnostics and the management of the endemics they suffer; neither are they the most active participants in basic science. The needs for strengthening in this area are pointed out by the authors.

Minor comments.

Page 5. Figure 1B (Figure 2B)

Page 8. ...Tropical Disease journal (Figure 3). There is no need to point out this graph here.

I consider that the presentation of the initial numbers can be enriched. It is clear that in the first five-year period analyzed, there was a production that represents almost a third of the total publications registered in the last 13 years by the authors of this manuscript, that in the second five-year period, the production of publications increased by 5 percentage points.

Finally, in the following period of just 39 months, the number of publications is close to a third of the total production.

Perhaps the presentation of the average annual production can give strength to this initial information of the work.

In the first five-year period, the average annual production was 1396.6 publications, with an increase of 300 more for the second period. The third period, at the time of the information cut-off, had a production of 1945.8 publications per year.

Page 10, Figure 4. It may be useful for readers to add the n=16,540

Page 12. Table 2 (Table 3).

Page 17. Occurring (occurring).</p>

Page 25. of (OF)

Page 32. References 53 and 54 are the same.

</p>

