

Review of: "Integrated decision-making model to enhance the employee-oriented built environment in urban green buildings"

Malgorzata Bogumila Pankowska

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Authors applied literature review for analysis of publications on MCDA and SEM. They have done quite big survey of literature in publicly accessible repositories.

It's a pity, authors do not explain for which purposes the review has been done. I suppose for their further research but it is not clear. May be authors in future will estimate a model on that topics.

Usually the literature review is a weak method, recommended as introduction to further research or prefer by low budget researchers.

So what explanations do the authors have?

The conclusions should be strongly connected with the literature, but not with people attitude.

If people do not write about green building it does mean that they do not apply it.

Please, be careful and avoid such conclusions.

- "Industrial 4.0 requires digital platforms" to "Industry 4.0 requires digital platforms"
- "X. Yang et al., 2020" to "Yang et al., 2020"
- "B. Yang et al., 2022" to "Yang et al., 2022"
- "((Alanne, (2021; Rameshwar et al., 2020)." to "(Alanne, 2021; Rameshwar et al., 2020)."
- Figure I insert the name of this figure.

The paper after some improvements can be published.