

# Review of: "“A totally new situation that put us into uncharted waters”: Preschool teachers negotiate their professional identity in respect to online learning during the Covid-19 lockdowns in Greece."

Bartzakli Marianna

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper deals with a interesting topic – teachers' professional identity, following COVID-19 implications. The structure is well and bibliography is sufficient too. My comments are.

## Introduction

You mention “new versions of professional identities emerged, which included more “professional” characteristics. “

The reader researcher cannot see it clearly in the rest of the article and especially in conclusion section, to which ones do you refer?

"The need to resist change can be better understood if...": better than what?

### 1.1.3

“Androusou & Tsafos (2018) state, in fact, that professional identities among preschool teachers are largely defined both by traditional notions that support the nurturing dimension of the profession and modernist elements, such as the understanding that one should possess work related practical skills and instrumental knowledge. “

Can you explain it more in greek reality ?

### 1.1.5

"that was imposed because of the schools' closure". You may change the word. Furthermore you do not refer anywhere to the official educational policy, laws, restrictions etc about education in that period of time.

## Method

### 2.1.1

"Qualitative studies usually engage a smaller number of participants. However, in cases in which the research topic is new and/or underrepresented and there is a need for better clarification of the prevailing experiences and patterns of thought,

researchers seek to ensure bigger numbers of participants (Coyle, 2007).":smaller to what?

### 2.1.2

"The second researcher, who conducted..." – just say that before the interview, participants were informed.... similarly in other occasions in the paper. Avoid addressing yourselves

Reliability and validity section should be more obvious and concrete.

## Findings

This section could be better edited and presented in my opinion.

It has a lot of information , not clearly connected with the references of the interviewers

## Discussion

### 4.1.1. Limitations

The limitations should address topics like audio difficulties and limitations like body language etc, age, gender, different region

When discussing the implications, what do you suggest?

In your findings or conclusion section you do not mention the characteristics that you discovered in a clear way.

You mention community of practice, but you do not refer to its notion and what are you looking for mention cop?

In order to work in cop environment we need clear vision, goals , practice and common use of vocabulary. Did this happened?

Thanks for having the chance to read and comment on your work.

Marianna Bartzakli