

Review of: "Positive Selection and Adaptation Role of Gut Microbiota in the Evolution of Adaptive Immunity of Mammalian Species"

Alessandra Gori¹

¹ Sapienza University of Rome

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I'd like to thank the authors for this paper, well written and on a very interesting topic. The text provided appears to be a comprehensive scientific article discussing the interaction between the mammalian host, its immune system, and gut microbiota, emphasizing the evolutionary aspects. Here are some weaknesses and areas for improvement in the text:

1. The text is lengthy and dense, which could make it challenging for readers to grasp the key points. It could be useful to simplify it by breaking it into more sections to enhance readability and engagement.
2. The use of highly specialized jargon and complex sentences is remarkable; however, it may limit accessibility to a broader audience. Simplifying language and reducing jargon, or providing explanations for specialized terms, would make the content more accessible.
3. The text occasionally repeats information, such as the emphasis on the evolutionary impact of gut microbiota across multiple sections. Streamlining content to avoid redundancy would improve clarity and maintain reader interest.
4. The text includes many references but doesn't consistently follow a clear or standardized citation style. Ensuring consistency in how references are cited (either in parentheses in the text or as numbered citations) would enhance the professional appearance and readability.
5. While the text references figures and tables, there is no direct inclusion or clear explanation within the document's main body. Better integration and description of these visual elements in the text could help in effectively conveying complex information.
6. The discussion appears somewhat speculative without clearly connecting the findings to broader implications or previous research. Strengthening these connections and providing a more robust discussion of the implications could make the conclusions more impactful.
7. The conclusion reiterates many points already mentioned without providing a concise summary of new insights gained or the implications of the findings. A more focused conclusion highlighting the novel contributions of the study would be beneficial.
8. The overall structure could be improved by better separating sections and using headings to guide the reader through the text. This would help in organizing the dense information and making the paper more navigable.

By addressing these issues, the text may be improved in terms of clarity, impact, and accessibility, making the valuable research it presents more understandable and appealing to both experts and broader audiences.

In conclusion, I commend the work carried out.