

Review of: "Views and Attitudes of Pharmacy and Medical/Dental Students Towards Inter-Professional Education and Collaboration in the United Arab Emirates"

Azmah Sa'at¹

¹ Universiti Putra Malaysia

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Well done on the written article. This article can provide more information regarding knowledge and attitudes towards interprofessional education. Along that line, the title can be changed to knowledge and attitude rather than views and attitudes, which is a general and broad statement.

It would be better to compare the students' results based on their pharmaceutical background and medical or dental background, which are two very different individual variables, rather than uniting them. It might show a different outcome.

The abstract is well-written. However, the statistically significant results were not displayed or highlighted.

The introduction is okay. However, the references used are outdated throughout the journal. Kindly use the most recent (as of 5 years ago) journals as references if possible.

In the methods section:

1. The sampling calculation was not displayed. How did you get the number of the sample population?
2. The validity and reliability of the questionnaire are not highlighted.
3. What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the students to be chosen for the research study?
4. The reference number for the ethical review board is not stated in the study. In the results section: In the first paragraph of the results, please include the frequency outside the brackets and the percentage inside the brackets in the text. It is accurate in the table. This should also be followed in subsequent tables 3 and 4. The results regarding the knowledge section are not listed.

The discussion section is too broad. It isn't discussed in length regarding interprofessional collaboration, especially regarding the benefits and the pros and cons. Highlight how interprofessional collaboration can help create students who have more integrity and responsibility, especially when working in their respective fields.

The conclusion is sketchy and not conclusive. It should be clear and concise.

Many of the references used are outdated and not uniform in their formatting.

Otherwise, a well-written article. Congratulations! With a bit of improvement, this article can be better. Good luck and all the best! I give it a score of 3 out of 5.