

Review of: "Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia"

Luciana Neri Nobre

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for your submission to Qeios and for the opportunity to read this paper. Overall, the paper is clear in its structure and intent. However, needs adjustments for its publication. I offer more detailed comments below as much as I appreciate your attempt to examine this issue. I hope the comments are helpful.

Considerations about the paper: Could governmental interventions improve subjective well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic? Findings from 750 street vendors in Cali, Colombia

Interesting article, but that needs to be reviewed because of the amount of information being presented. There are many graphs and many tables, journals usually accept a set of maximum 5 tables and graphs.

Regarding the results, I suggest removing the outlier point from the results, they seem overestimated to me!

Figures 5 and 6 have the same title, but they have different values! Check if there was any mistake.

About tables 1, 2 and 3:

It is usual to present a table with univariate analysis and later one with the results of the multivariate analysis. However, the researcher can choose to present only the multivariate results. In this case, it is necessary to inform which variables were statistically associated in the univariate analysis and which were tested in the multivariate analysis. Likewise, if different models are tested, it is necessary to inform which covariates were used to adjust the model.

I emphasize, however, that in this paper I understand that the researcher wanted to explore which variables were associated with dissatisfaction with work, with life, and with an increase in mental disorders. Thus, it seems that there was a hypothesis that explanatory variables were associated with these outcomes, as well as covariates for the adjustments of this analysis. Therefore, it seems confusing to me to present results with different models. Which would be more appropriate considering the cited above.

If I have misunderstood, it is important to include in the text the information I mentioned above and also include below the table what aOR means and which variables were used for adjustment in models 1, 2, 3, and 4.

About table 2:

When presenting the results of Table two, the phrase economic support from the government was repeated in Model 1,

and different results were presented, review this question and exclude the one that is the most.

About table 3:

Review which variables are statistically significant is cited in the text variable that is not among the explanatory variables (example: unemployed 90+ days during the quarantine in model 2).