

# Review of: "Decolonisation of Health in East Africa: Opinion Piece"

Gizeaddis Lamesgin Simegn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Johns Hopkins University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

This article explores the decolonization of healthcare in East Africa, shedding light on the historical and present influence of the UK. It emphasizes the challenges posed by the UK's reliance on African medical professionals, political decisions impacting healthcare support, and academic disparities. The strong side of this article lies in its analysis, offering practical solutions and initiatives to address the identified issues. It calls for a shift towards equal partnerships, mutual knowledge exchange, and a reevaluation of academic and healthcare practices. The article's intersectionality perspective, incorporating race, gender, and disability, enhances its relevance. It provides a comprehensive overview, urging readers to consider the global implications and advocate for equitable healthcare access and collaborative initiatives.

However, the article has the following limitations

- **Narrow Examination of Divergent Perspectives:** The main emphasis in this article is on presenting a critical standpoint regarding the impact of the UK on healthcare in Africa, but it fails to thoroughly explore contrasting viewpoints or acknowledge any potential positive consequences. Adopting a more balanced approach would enhance the credibility of the article.
- **This piece tends to display political bias,** particularly evident through its criticism aimed at the UK government. To appeal to a wider audience and strengthen its position, adopting a neutral tone and relying on evidence-based discussions would be advantageous.
- **Absence of Global Perspective:** While primarily focusing on discussing Britain's role within Africa, incorporating global context into this discourse could enrich it further. Drawing comparisons with other former colonial powers or highlighting collaborations with non-colonial nations will contribute towards achieving a comprehensive understanding.

Overall, the article provides a valuable contribution to the discourse on decolonization of healthcare in East Africa. While it could benefit from a more balanced presentation of views and a neutral tone, its strength lies in its in-depth analysis, practical solutions, and commitment to fostering collaborative and equitable partnerships in healthcare and academia.