

Review of: "Knowledge, Practices and Attitude of Residents towards Buruli Ulcer in Jasikan Municipality: An Ethnographic Study"

Abena Amponsah Brobbey¹

¹ Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Reviewer's comment

Knowledge, Practices and Attitude of Residents towards Buruli Ulcer in Jasikan Municipality: An Ethnographic Study

Informative article but authors need to address the following:

Authors did also work with control but silence on it in abstract and also did not really come out in work. They should have discussed the difference or similarities observed between the control and patient.

Table 1, page 5, authors stated n=10, why 10?

Abstract

Result: Is too summarized example, just saying knowledge is moderate is not enough

Authors need to work on references,

Under study setting, page 2,3, (DHIMS 2, 2021), the year stated is different from that in the main reference.

Under discussion,

Owusu-Sekyere et. al., (2012), is just an author according to main reference

Stienstra et al. (2002) year in-text is difference from main reference

If possible, authors should add more references to the work

Under discussion authors stated that "The results showed **that respondents** had visited spiritual centres for God's protection" should be "The results showed **that some respondents** had visited spiritual centres for God's protection"

The authors should check the use of the word "cases" example, "These respondents were both Buruli ulcer cases and those who were not cases. Would be better with "These respondents were both Buruli ulcer patients and those who were not patients"

