

Review of: "Maintaining Sustainable, Affordable, and Low-Cost Housing for Middle- and Low-Income Classes in Uganda"

Diambomba H. Tungadio¹

¹ University of Johannesburg

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare

KNYJBR_reviewer

Maintaining Sustainable, Affordable, and Low-Cost Housing for Middle- and Low-Income Classes in Uganda

This paper deals with maintaining sustainable, affordable, and low-cost housing for middle- and low-income classes in Uganda. The author presented some good results based on the proposed model using a test system. The paper is interesting, but there are several issues that need to be improved to make a proper contribution.

My comments are as follows:

1. No significant contribution or merits can be found in the abstract. What are the main advantages of this research?
2. An abstract is normally between 150 to 250 words; thus, a concise and factual abstract is required to state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results, and the major conclusions. Add some of the most important quantitative results to the abstract. Focus on the advantages of the proposed method with respect to the obtained results. The abstract should be reformulated, as it is an extremely important and powerful representation of the manuscript.
3. Literature review (introduction) should be reorganized, and the main contributions of this paper are also required to be mentioned in the introduction.
4. The author has made the effort to provide literature on maintaining sustainable, affordable, and low-cost housing for middle- and low-income classes in Uganda. A limited literature review is suggested (needs to focus on the closely related works to the proposed research).
5. References are poorly written. The quality and strength of any research paper is essentially based on the quality of the references used. Be consistent in your way of writing references for journal articles, conferences, or books.