

Review of: "The Role of Think Tanks in Megatrends Analysis and Future Research"

Salil K Sen

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Authors endeavour is tri-domain weaved together, namely megatrends, future research and role of think tanks. However, the contention that 'think tanks are explicitly or implicitly working on megatrends and future research' is curbing the stellar role of think tanks. The onslaught of carbon and conflicts have 'silo-ed' the focus of think tanks. This aspect needs to be highlighted.

The situation that have emerged is the scale of the trends, not necessarily mega, to create the impact and structure the future agenda at policy level, responsible investment areas, or Environment Social Governance compliance. This aspect needs clarity.

Strategic foresight needs definition of temporal issues. The fast-paced upheavals demand nimbleness. Methodologies including horizon scanning, road mapping, and scenario development, need spectrum enhancement. Authors may refer to Habegger, B. (2010). Strategic foresight in public policy: Reviewing the experiences of the UK, Singapore, and the Netherlands. *Futures*, 42(1), 49-58.

Encouraging to note authors' assertion that 'Most of the leading think tanks are situated in the United States and EU countries but other parts of the world are catching up, including China and India'. Central Asia, Africa, South America are aligning with China and India for a novel perspective. Khalidi, R. (2005). *Resurrecting empire: Western footprints and America's perilous path in the Middle East*. Beacon Press.