

## Review of: "Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice (KAP) Study for Reducing Invalid Vaccine Doses in Routine Immunization: A Cross-Sectional Study in Urban Slums of Bangladesh"

Melese Workneh

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you very much for inviting me to review this manuscript. The topic is too interesting and up to date issues especially for developing countries. But it needs major revision to fulfill the minimum acceptable level for publication. In the abstract the methods of data analysis for both qualitative and quantitative method is not clear. The types of qualitative study design are not clear in the abstract part. In addition to this the types of design used for the qualitative part is not mentioned in the abstract part to attract the readers, and the qualitative result is not mentioned in the abstract part. In the introduction part the author did not define the meaning of "Reducing Invalid Vaccine Doses"? It's mandate to omit abbreviations from the tittle (KAP) and from the abstract part EPI, NGO. The analysis methods for the qualitative part needs to be clarify more. Example which types of content analysis? Where are the themes emerged from the key informant interview? Where is the meaning unit, condensed meaning unit, themes derived? It's better to show the methods of qualitative data analysis using separate tables; and is it analyzed manually or using qualitative data analysis software? The methods of quantitative data analysis are totally not clear. The manuscript needs grammatical and language correction. Generally, the manuscript needs major revision.

Qeios ID: IW3IHC · https://doi.org/10.32388/IW3IHC